



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_45513
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a preconditioning system for FUTA floating fish feed extruder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 9, line 74: False 15hp, true 15 hp. Line 107, line 111: False 7930kg/m³, true 7930 kg/m³ Line 40: False FAO (2005), true FAO (Garcia at all 2005) Line 75: False 1460rpm, true 1460 rpm. Line 101: The chamber and other..... (The sentence is not clear) Line 187: is $(K_t M_t)^2$ in or out of square? Line 205: Source (NIOMR) must add to reference. (Is this an article, or a report, or a book?) Line 211: False 13kg, true 13 kg Line 220,221,222: W(kg), t(min), T_p(kg/h). I think, T_p is (kg/min) or t is (h). Which one?	Line 9, line 74: corrections effected Line 107, line 111: corrections effected Line 40: correction effected Line 75: correction effected Line 101: rephrased Line 187: it is in the square (see referenced textbook) Line 205: unpublished result Line 211: correction effected Line 220,221,222: t is (h)
Minor REVISION comments	Line 12 NIOMR may explain. There are some mathematical symbol fault. For example Line 176:L=K*P, Line 182:P~~X~~60/2πn, Line 127: V.R I think, use only one symbol (* or ~~X~~ or .)	Line 12 NIOMR may explain: effected Line 176, 182 and 127: symbol X is chosen
Optional/General comments	This article is a very good study for Nigeria and fish feed extruder.	Thank you very much

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No