



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_45239
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Investigation of the Performance and Energy Consumption of an Automated Ice-cube Making Machine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 34 and 36 should be corrected accordingly as follows: - Line 34: emphasis is being laid..... - Line 36: system performance while still being able - Mode of reference should be in accordance with the Journal's recommendation e.g. Haider <i>et al.</i> or use this method, [5] - Legends in figures 3 – 5 should be made more legible.	- Line 34: 'been' is replaced with 'being' as suggested by the Reviewer. - Line 36: Ditto as in line 34 - Author agrees with the reviewer and had complied with the Journal format on referencing and citations. - Author has complied with the Reviewer's suggestion
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	