

Turbine Dimensionless Coefficients and the Net Head/Flow Rate Characteristic for a Simplified Pico Hydro Power System

ABSTRACT

The basic operational parameters of a simplified pico-hydropower system with provision for water recycling were investigated. Five simplified turbine of runner diameters 0.45, 0.40, 0.35, 0.30 and 0.25 m were designed, locally fabricated, and tested in conjunction with five PVC pipes of diameters 0.0762, 0.0635, 0.0508, 0.0445 and 0.0381 m as penstocks. Five simple nozzles of area ratios 1.0, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 and 0.2 were fabricated for each penstock diameter. The turbines were successively mounted at the foot of an overhead reservoir such that the effective vertical height from the outlet of the reservoir to the plane of the turbine shaft was 6.95 m. A 1.11 kW electric pump was used to recycle the water downstream of the turbine back to the overhead reservoir. The mean maximum and minimum rotational speeds of the shaft of each turbine were measured for each penstock diameter and nozzle area ratio, and the volumes of water displaced in the reservoirs were also monitored. These measured data were used to compute shaft power and system volumetric flow rate for each operation. Dimensionless flow, head and power coefficients, and specific speed were computed and functional characteristics relating them developed. This standard procedure generally used for the analysis of geometrically similar hydraulic machines have been applied to this system and the results obtained will be invaluable in development of the system into a simple, environmentally friendly and decentralized small power generation system that could potentially contribute positively to the energy mix in Nigeria. The possibility of scaling the system to accommodate larger turbine and penstock diameters, and as a result higher capacity alternators exist and is a target for future developments.

Keywords: Decentralized power, environmentally friendly, net head/flow rate characteristic, nozzle area ratio, penstock diameter and Turbine dimensionless coefficients,

1. INTRODUCTION

Though energy plays a very crucial role in economic development of a nation access to it is very minimal in many developing countries as a result of a mix of several factors [1-8]. In Nigeria, many of the functional energy supply systems operate below installed capacity, and are frequently susceptible to limitations resulting from human and natural causes. Moreover, many of the systems are large, centralized and utilize energy resources that have some adverse impacts on the environment. Furthermore, several of the energy resources in use depleting so that sustainability is not guaranteed [9-15]. Exploration and transportation of new deposits also compound the negative effects on the environment such as oil spillage while escalating friction in the host communities [16-18].

Consequently, there is growing interests in and clamor for the use of renewable energy sources, as well as in smarter, smaller and more decentralized energy systems which will utilize these renewable sources and the existing conventional ones more efficiently [19-31]. These systems convey more control to the end user creating more sense of responsibility with regard to the maintenance and security of the system, especially with the prevalent activities of saboteurs of diverse motivations. Also, the development of systems that generate the required power at or close to the point of application has the potential of mitigating attacks on supply structures particular with the growing regional restiveness in developing countries like Nigeria. Such systems do not require maintenance and protection of the supply structure [17, 32-44].

Hydropower has numerous advantages over other renewable energy sources but the large schemes which are generally predominantly in use in Nigeria and other developing countries, also pose a lot of environmental problems [45-55]. These include harm to aquatic animals and habitat, possibility of

38 enhancement of disease to the neighboring communities, as well as displacement of settlements.
39 There is also growing evidence of emissions from the reservoirs. Large to small hydro which depend
40 on flowing water sources are affected by the hydrological cycle (seasonal fluctuation) which translates
41 to blackouts and significant power outages at some periods of the year. Also, debris and silt
42 blockages of turbine passages often arise which also affect power supply. Evidence also exist of
43 disease enhancement in the region of hydropower reservoirs [56-66].
44

45 There is therefore increased interest in very small hydro and pumped storage hydro [67-77]. Pico-
46 hydro power provides a very good option because it suits the general characteristics of smarter,
47 smaller and decentralized systems, and can be utilized in locations where larger conventional
48 systems cannot be optimally located. For instance, it is now a very useful option in the Asian
49 developing countries where the topography is a natural barriers to the uptake of conventional grid-
50 connected energy systems [78-90]. However, it has been verified that seasonal fluctuations of water
51 levels also affect the operation of the conventional Pico-hydro schemes. Low water levels do not allow
52 optimal operation while very high ones can sweep the units away [91-98].
53

54 There are many sites suitable for Pico-hydro development in Nigeria as in many other African
55 countries but deliberate focus has not been given to its development [17]. For instance, no direct
56 attention is paid to Pico-hydro systems development in the apparently aggressive efforts of Nigeria's
57 Federal Government to revitalize the hydropower sector [14, 44]. Hence, the development of a Pico-
58 hydro system that may not require naturally flowing water becomes necessary. Developing any
59 means of applying the advantages of hydropower while greatly minimizing the operational and natural
60 shortcomings will be a step in the right direction.
61

62 A simplified Pico-hydro system that is a variant of the pumped hydro scheme which could be operated
63 where there is no naturally flowing water by utilizing overhead water storage is currently being
64 developed in University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria for more than four years now. Such a system
65 will eliminate several of the issues that conventional hydropower systems have to contend with while
66 retaining its substantial advantage as a system for power supply in the mold current renewable
67 energy systems' best practices. It will be decentralized thereby conceding control to the user and
68 reducing the risk of sabotage. The limitation imposed by seasonal variations of water levels on
69 conventional Pico-hydro systems will be eliminated as well [99-107]. The current aspect of the work
70 looks at the prospects for acceptability of this system as a simple contribution to the energy mix in
71 Nigeria. It focusses on the generation of information that will come in handy for future developments
72 of the system.
73

74 For all hydraulic machines, it is customary to develop a net head and flow rate characteristic that
75 governs the performance. In conventional hydropower practice, the flow rate and gross head data are
76 collected from the site with the net head obtained from the gross head. This characteristic is therefore
77 invaluable in predicting or fixing the net head and the flow rate for sites where hydropower systems
78 will be installed [108-114]. For this system under development, these parameters are not site-
79 dependent but system component dependent. This means that for this system, the net head and flow
80 rate characteristic will be useful in selecting system components in terms of basic dimensions. In
81 other words, they can be fixed and then used to determine the configurations of the system
82 component.
83

84 Furthermore, dimensionless analysis of hydraulic machines yields dimensionless coefficients that are
85 very useful in summarizing the performance of dimensionally similar machines. It is quite useful to
86 have a dimensionless group involving shaft rotational speed, flow rate, head and power with the
87 diameter of the machine. This makes the group independent of the machine size. This can be done
88 by manipulating the other dimensionless groups for the machine to obtain a new dimensionless
89 coefficient. Hence, the coefficients can be used for scaling of system components such as turbine and
90 penstock diameters in order to get a desired power output. The dimensionless coefficients include
91 flow (K_Q), head (K_H) and power (K_P) coefficients as well as specific speed (K_S). For maximum
92 efficiency, there are generally only one set of values for them [108-110, 115]. The functional
93 relationships between these coefficients are experimentally determinable and constitute a set of
94 performance characteristics representing the whole family of geometrically similar machines. They are
95 identical for all such machines if factors such as Reynold's number, Mach number and relative
96 roughness are the same. For all machines belonging to the same family, and operating under similar
97 conditions the dimensionless coefficients are the same at corresponding points of their characteristics.

Hence, according to [110], the similarity laws governing the relationships between such corresponding points may be written as in the equations below.

$$Q \propto ND^3 \quad (1)$$

$$gH \propto N^2 D^2 \quad (2)$$

$$P \propto \rho N^3 D^5 \quad (3)$$

This work presents the net head and flow rate characteristics as well as the dimensionless flow, head, power and specific speed coefficients of the simple Pico hydropower system undergoing development. The results will be useful for the continued development aimed at arriving at an implementable status for rural and urban locations in Nigeria in a bid to contribute positively to the sustainable energy mix. There will eventually be need to install various capacities for various users depending on several factors ranging from cost to location and the application. These results will come in handy then.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

PVC pressure pipes of diameters 0.0762, 0.0635, 0.0508, 0.0445 and 0.0381 m were selected as penstocks. According to [116] and [117], PVC is lighter, has better friction characteristics and is cheaper than steel apart from the subjective factor of being more readily available in the required sizes. Their pressure characteristics are similar. The associated frictional losses were estimated using the equations suggested by [118] for pipes of diameter greater than 5 cm and flow velocity below 3 m/s. An average value of $f = 0.0175$ was used in this study because it lies between 0.015 and 0.020 for plastic pipes.

The turbulence losses were estimated with values for the coefficients K for pipe entry, gate valve and 90° elbow obtained from [110] as 0.5, 0.25 and 0.9 respectively. For change in penstock dimensions, K values were obtained using the equation given by [118]. The K values for the reduction of penstock from 0.0762 to 0.0635 m, 0.0635 to 0.0508 m, 0.0508 to 0.0445 m and 0.0445 to 0.0381 m were then computed. H_f values were then computed with only the valve, elbow and entry coefficients applied to the largest diameter penstock. The contraction coefficients were then successively added as the penstock sizes were reduced. The net head available was then computed.

The design procedure for a single nozzle Pelton turbine resembling a propeller turbine was adopted. This is because a propeller turbine allows for the generators to be directly driven thereby avoiding transmissions and the attendant losses. Also, the runners had a relatively lower number of fixed blades, therefore simplifying the manufacturing process and reducing the potential for inconsistent blade construction and orientation. Furthermore, the Pelton turbine can be mounted vertically or horizontally [119-128]. A simple V-shape blade with about 60° included angle was adopted. The approach presented by [129] was used in this work in order to obtain the base turbine runner diameters which were then scaled upwards to enhance manufacturability and application for the study [130, 131]. The values of the system flow rate computed were substituted into the expressions for the turbine parameters given by RETScreen. The specific speed of the turbine was computed using j (number of nozzles) = 1 (for simplicity and ease of manufacture). This was used to compute the turbine runner diameter, D_T in metres. Five (5) different values of D_T were obtained corresponding to the five penstock sizes selected which were then scaled upwards. The scaled values of D_T used for this work were 0.25, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40 and 0.45 m. The hub diameter and hence, blade height or cup length was found using an expression given by [117] as well as the blade height. The number of blades was selected from a chart of parameters for sizing turbines by [124] to be 6.



The hub and cups were cast from aluminium after carrying out the necessary preliminary tests and preparations to the sizes obtained. The cups were diametrically welded to the hub using gas welding. Two circular flanges made of 2 mm steel sheet to facilitate the coupling of a steel shaft of 20 mm diameter to the hub is welded to the shaft after passing the shaft through a hole in it. The flange has provisions for three (3) M14 bolts and nuts evenly located along a convenient circumferential plane so that the hub with the cups are clamped perpendicular to the shaft. An average ratio of flange diameter (D_f) to hub diameter (D_h) of 0.75 was used for the 5 turbines. Figure 1 shows the assembled turbine runner. The assembled turbine was mounted in a casing made of 4 mm sheet steel and externally

157 reinforced having an annulus or flow area πr^2 which satisfies the minimum condition for a clearance of
158 about 0.03 m. Figure 2 shows an assembled turbine. Appropriate bearings and seals were selected
159 for mounting the turbine to facilitate free rotation and to prevent leakages. The casing cover was
160 secured in position using M13 and M14 bolts and nuts. The support of the turbine was made of a
161 combination of 5 mm u-channel and 4 mm angle iron with provisions for four M20 foundation bolts.
162 The exit duct was of rectangular cross-section and tapered to a 76.2 mm diameter internally threaded
163 cylindrical adaptor. The duct was conveniently slanted in order to enhance discharge of water from
164 the turbine. Figure 3 shows an exploded view of the turbine.

165
166 The nozzles were fabricated using 1 mm thick steel sheet. The development of each was cut out of
167 the sheet metal which was then appropriately folded and welded using gas welding because of the
168 light gauge of the metal. The nozzles had a mean height of 50 cm. Figure 4 shows all the nozzles
169 used for the study, each set of 5 including nozzles of area ratios 1.0 to 0.2.

170
171 Figure 5 shows the complete set up for the study while Fig. 6 shows an enlarged view of the
172 components on the ground. It has two reservoirs, one mounted overhead and the other underground.
173 The arrangement was such that the overhead reservoir delivers water to the turbine through the
174 penstock. Five nozzles of similar length of about 50 cm were fabricated for each penstock diameter
175 with area ratios of 1.0, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 and 0.2 to facilitate flow acceleration at the exit of the penstock.
176 Water from the nozzles impinges on the turbine blades when the outlet valve of the overhead
177 reservoir is opened. The whole turbine assembly is mounted horizontally with the water outlet port
178 conveniently inclined such that flow from the turbine casing is enhanced. The turbine discharges
179 water to the ground reservoir. The water is then re-circulated to the overhead reservoir by a 1.11 kW
180 DAB Model electric pump. The pump has a rated flow rate of 3.0 – 10.8 m³/h (0.833 – 3.0 x 10⁻³ m³/s)
181 with maximum and minimum heads of 29 m and 17 m respectively and 220 – 240V, 7.1A.

182



183

184

185 **Fig. 1: A Turbine Runner Assembly for the System** **Fig. 2: An Assembled Turbine**

186


187 For this study, the head, $H = 0.93\text{m}$. The experimental system discharge was then determined for
188 each penstock size by timing the discharge of water from the overhead reservoir. The rotational speed
189 of the shaft of the turbine (N) was measured using the DT-2268 and DT-2858 Contact Type Digital
190 Tachometer for each penstock diameter and nozzle configuration. The tachometers had a 5-digit, 10
191 mm LCD display with measurement range of 2.5 – 99,999 Rpm. The resolution is 1 Rpm over 1000
192 Rpm with accuracy of $\pm 0.05\% + 1$ Rpm and photo detecting distance of up to 300 mm. The
193 tachometers have memory capability of showing the last value, maximum value and minimum value,
194 and a typical sampling time of 1 second.

195

196 The measurements were carried out without coupling the alternator to the turbine (no-load tests). The
197 rotor of the tachometer was pressed lightly into a blind hole on the rotating shaft in order to measure
198 the rotational speed. This was repeated several times depending on the duration for a particular
199 measurement which was limited by the water level in the reservoir on the ground. During this period,
200 the maximum and minimum rotational speed were observed and recorded. An average duration of
201 about 4.24 minutes/measurement was used throughout with the minimum and maximum values being


202 1.73 and 6.75 minutes. The whole procedure was carried out for each of the 5 turbines. The values of
 203 N were corrected for losses imposed by the provision for discharging water into the reservoir on the
 204 ground by applying a factor of $\frac{H_d}{H}$, where H_d = the height of the delivery port above the plain of the
 205 turbine shaft.

206
 207 For the 4 smaller penstock diameters, the values of N were also corrected because the delivery pipe
 208 to the ground reservoir was not reduced to match their smaller diameters. A factor of $\frac{D_p}{D_d}$, where
 209 D_d = diameter of the delivery pipe and D_p = diameter of penstock. The water levels in the two
 210 reservoirs were monitored simultaneously using a dip stick along with a measuring tape and used to
 211 obtain the volume of water discharged. The volumetric flow rates were then computed. The fluid
 212 power (P_f) available for each operation was computed using the relationship given by [111] and [76].
 213 The shaft power, P_s , and efficiency of the system were computed from first principles using equations
 214 given by the same author.
 215



216
 217
 218
 219

Fig. 3: Exploded view of the turbine



220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225

Fig. 4: The Nozzles used for the indicated Penstock diameters




Fig. 5: The Pico-Hydropower System




Fig. 6: Enlarged view of the 1.11 kW Pump, Turbine and Penstock

Based on results of dimensionless analysis, the dimensionless groups flow, head and power coefficients as well as specific speed were computed using equations 4 to 7 respectively. The head and power coefficients were plotted against the flow coefficients to formulate a functional relationship between them. They can be computed using the expressions below [108-110].

Flow coefficient, $K_Q = \frac{Q}{ND^3}$ (4)

Head coefficient, $K_H = \frac{gH}{N^2 D^2}$ (5)

Power coefficient, $K_P = \frac{P}{\rho N^3 D^5}$ (6)

Specific speed, $K_S = \frac{K_Q^{1/2}}{K_H^{3/4}}$ (7)

The net head flow rate characteristic was established for the system.


3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For this study, the mean values of the flow rate and the net head for the no-load tests as presented in Table 1 were plotted in Fig. 7. The characteristic curve was parabolic in nature with R^2 value of

249 0.9697. The trend is as is obtainable in previous studies [109, 110, 126, 132-142]. It has the following
 250 expression given in equation 8:

$$251 \quad H_{n, avg} = -271820Q_{avg}^2 + 740.6Q_{avg} + 1.9369 \quad (8)$$

252
 253 where $H_{n, avg}$ is mean system net head (m) and Q_{avg} is mean system flow rate (m^3/s). This
 254 expression can be very useful in obtaining an initial design for scaling up flow rate for further
 255 developments of the system for given values of $H_{n, avg}$ [143-149].
 256
 257



258
 259 **Fig. 7: Mean Net Head and Flow Rate Characteristic for the System**
 260
 261

262 Based on results of dimensionless analysis of hydraulic turbine parameters, four coefficients were
 263 computed to summarize and generalize their performance. The coefficients were head, flow and
 264 power coefficients as well as the specific speed. They were computed using equations 4 to 7. These
 265 formulations will be very useful especially with regards to future plans to scale up the system in order
 266 to generate higher power [150, 151]. They will be invaluable for initial design data and are key to the
 267 expectation of achieving this system in its eventual application form. The computed values of the
 268 coefficients are shown in Table 1.

269 Figure 8 relates the mean head coefficient (K_H) to the mean flow coefficient (K_Q). For this work, the
 270 characteristic curve is parabolic with R^2 value of 0.9939 and the expression is given in equation 9.

$$271 \quad K_H = 1769.2K_Q^2 - 1.6098K_Q + 0.0027 \quad (9)$$

272
 273 Figure 9 shows the corresponding curve for the relationship between the mean power coefficient and
 274 the flow coefficient which also has a parabolic trend with R^2 value of 0.9982. The expression obtained
 275 is shown in equation 10.
 276

$$277 \quad K_p = 3.4639K_Q^2 - 0.0019K_Q + 1 \times 10^{-6} \quad (10)$$


278
 279 The coefficients constitute a set of performance characteristics representing the whole family of five
 280 turbines that were fabricated for this work. They are identical for all of them as long as parameters
 281 such as Mach number, Reynolds's number and relative surface roughness of the pipe walls are the
 282 same, or can be assumed constant. This assumption holds for this work. Applying similarity laws and
 283 based on the assumptions above, these coefficients can be used to predict the performance of
 284 another similar turbine with smaller or larger runner diameter running at a given speed [108-110, 115].
 285
 286

287 According to [108] and [109], the specific speed (K_s) can be obtained from equation 7 by manipulating
 288 K_Q , K_H and K_p . The mean values of the computed K_s from experimental data for each of the family of
 289 five turbines is shown in Table 1. They all lie within the range $1.7 < K_s < 3.0$. Though these values are
 290 quite small compared to the range of 10 to 35 reported by [111] and [117] for one-jet Pelton turbines,
 291 they are close to each other, strengthening an earlier suggestion in the process of the larger scope of
 292 the study that the difference between the runner diameters was not large enough to significantly
 293 impact upon their performances.
 294

295 **Table 1: Computed Dimensionless Coefficients for the turbines for Penstock of diameter**
 296 **0.0762 m**
 297


Turbine Runner Dia., D_T (m)	Nozzle Area Ratio, A_2/A_1	Head Coeff., $K_H \times 10^{-3}$	Flow Coeff., $K_Q \times 10^{-4}$	Power Coeff., $K_P \times 10^{-6}$	Specific Speed K_S
0.45	1.0	4.196	8.182	3.433	1.735
	0.8	3.832	6.980	2.675	1.715
	0.6	3.104	5.933	1.841	1.852
	0.4	2.887	4.511	1.302	1.705
	0.2	2.373	2.871	0.681	1.576
0.40	1.0	3.278	9.073	2.974	2.199
	0.8	2.527	7.014	1.772	2.350
	0.6	2.405	6.296	1.514	2.310
	0.4	2.145	4.319	0.927	2.086
	0.2	2.141	3.207	0.686	1.798
0.35	1.0	4.211	12.586	5.300	2.146
	0.8	3.714	10.841	4.027	2.189
	0.6	3.273	9.251	3.028	2.223
	0.4	2.666	6.684	1.782	2.204
	0.2	2.097	4.423	0.928	2.147
0.30	1.0	3.581	15.884	5.688	2.723
	0.8	2.475	12.348	3.056	3.166
	0.6	2.144	11.305	2.424	3.375
	0.4	2.118	8.926	1.895	3.030
	0.2	2.066	6.541	1.351	2.639
0.25	1.0	3.973	23.152	9.198	3.041
	0.8	3.619	20.402	7.384	3.061
	0.6	3.121	17.412	5.435	3.160
	0.4	3.099	14.209	4.347	2.851
	0.2	3.013	9.441	2.842	2.388
					2.900

298
299



300
301
302
303

Fig. 8: Variation of Mean Head Coefficient with Mean Flow Coefficient for the Turbines



304
305
306
307

Fig. 9: Variation of Mean Power Coefficient with Mean Flow Coefficient for the Turbines

308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365

4. CONCLUSION

So far, the findings in this work on the simplified pico-hydro system show that potential exist for it to contributing positively towards ameliorating the energy crunch in Nigeria and other developing countries as a unit that will operate without dependence on unpredictable climate conditions, without adverse effects on the environment and which concedes control to the end user. Further development is however necessary to realise this potential in full. Its parameters need to be properly manipulated to achieve a self-running status before it can become commercially useful.

The following conclusions are hereby drawn from this experimental study:


- (1) Dimensionless groups to summarise the performance of the five turbines used for the study have been formulated which will be invaluable when the system will be modified for better power generation; and
- (2) The net head and flow rate characteristic for the system has been established which will be useful for obtaining base data for future work;

The recommendations for this work are issues for the next phase(s). Based on the current findings and the original aspirations of this study, further funding will be sought so that the following aspects could be investigated:

- (1) The delivery pipe from the pump will be modified to cause the ratio of delivery to discharge from the reservoir to be more favourable for system performance;
- (2) The system will be tested with the overhead reservoir located above 7.0 m to take advantage of greater head;
- (3) The effect of multiple overhead reservoirs (or larger capacity ones) will be investigated;
- (4) The introduction of solar power for the recycling system in order to explore the hybridization option; and
- (5) An economic comparative analysis of this system with a stand-alone solar power system and a fossil fuel powered system will also be undertaken.

REFERENCES

1. Arto I, Capellán-Pérez I, Lago R, Bueno G, Bermejo R. The energy requirements of a developed world, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 2016; 33:1-13.
2. Bergasse E, Paczynski W, Dabrowski M, Dewulf L. The Relationship between Energy and Socio-Economic Development in the Southern and Eastern Mediterranean, MEDPRO Technical Report No. 27/February 2013. [https://www.ceps.eu/system/files/MEDPRO%20TR27CASE%20Bergasse%20Energy%20and%20Socio-economic%20Development updated15Feb2013.pdf](https://www.ceps.eu/system/files/MEDPRO%20TR27CASE%20Bergasse%20Energy%20and%20Socio-economic%20Development%20updated15Feb2013.pdf)
3. British Petroleum. BP Energy Outlook 2017 Edition, 2017; 9-22, 74-77, 90-94. [www.bp.com/energy outlook#BPstats](http://www.bp.com/energy_outlook#BPstats)
4. ECA. Correlation and causation between energy development and economic growth, Economic Consulting Associates, 2014. [file:///C:/Users/ncc/Downloads/EoDHD116Jan2014EnergyEconomicGrowth .pdf](file:///C:/Users/ncc/Downloads/EoDHD116Jan2014EnergyEconomicGrowth.pdf)
5. Pirlogea C, Cicea C. Econometric perspective of the energy consumption and economic growth relation in European Union. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2012; 16:5718–5726.
6. Saatci M, Dumrul Y. The Relationship between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: Evidence from a Structural Break Analysis for Turkey, *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 2013; 3(1):20-29.
7. Shezi L. Nearly 60% of Africans have no access to reliable electricity, 2015. <http://www.htxt.co.za/2015/05/08/nearly-60-of-africans-have-no-access-to-reliable-electricity/>
8. UNDESA. Electricity and Education: The Benefits, Barriers, and Actions for Achieving the Electrification of Primary and Secondary Schools. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2014. [https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1608 Electricity%20and%20Education. pdf](https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1608_Electricity%20and%20Education.pdf)
9. Edomah N. On the path to sustainability: Key issues on Nigeria's sustainable energy development, *Energy Reports*, 2016; 2:28-34.

- 366 10. Sambo AS. The Role of Energy in Achieving Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), Keynote
367 Address at the National Engineering Technology Conference (NETec 2008), Ahmadu Bello
368 University, Zaria, 1st April, 2008.
- 369 11. Sambo AS. Matching Electricity Supply with Demand in Nigeria, International Association for
370 Energy Economics, 4th quarter 2008, 32-36.
- 371 12. Shittu J. Towards Achieving Sustainable Development for all: Prioritizing Targets for
372 Implementation: Which way forward for Nigeria? Center for Public Policy Alternatives, 2015.
373 www.cpparesearch.org/wp-content/.../Country-profile-on-SDGs-Nigeria-Final-Version.pdf
- 374 13. MDGs. Nigeria 2015 – Millennium Development Goals End point Report, 2015.
375 www.mdgs.gov.ng
- 376 14. Bala EJ. Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Development in Nigeria, Keynote Paper at the
377 2-day Workshop on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency, 10th–11th June, 2013, Nnamdi Azikiwe
378 University, Awka.
- 379 15. Akuru UB, Okoro OI. A Prediction on Nigeria’s Oil Depletion Based on Hubbert’s Model and the
380 Need for Renewable Energy, ISRN Renewable Energy, 2011, Article ID 285649.
- 381 16. Olusegun HD, Adekunle AS, Ohijeagbon IO, Oladosu OA, Ajimotokan HA. Retrofitting a
382 Hydropower Turbine for the Generation of Clean Electrical Power, USEP: Journal of Research
383 Information in Civil Engineering, 2010; 7(2), 61-69.
- 384 17. Bala EJ. Achieving Renewable Energy Potential in Africa, Joint WEC, AUC and APUA Workshop,
385 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 17th – 18th June, 2013.
- 386 18. Sambo AS. Strategic Development in Renewable Energy in Nigeria. International Association of
387 Energy Economics, 2009; 4:15-19.
- 388 19. Aslani A. Private Sector Investment in Renewable Energy Utilization: Strategic Analysis of
389 Stakeholder Perspectives in Developing Countries, International Journal of Sustainable Energy, 2013
390 1-13.
- 391 20. Ranjeva M, Kulkarni AK. Design optimization of a hybrid, small, decentralized power plant for
392 remote/rural areas, Energy Procedia, 20, Technoport RERC Research, 2012; 258–270.
- 393 21. Razak JA, Sopian K, Ali Y, Alghoul MA, Zaharim A, Ahmad I. Optimization of PV-wind-hydro-
394 diesel hybrid system by minimizing excess capacity, European J. of Scientific Research, 2009;
395 25(4):663-671.
- 396 22. Cancino-Solorzano Y, Villicana-Ortiz E, Gutierrez-Trashorras AJ, Xiberta-Bernat J. Electricity
397 sector in Mexico: Current status. Contribution of renewable energy sources, Renewable and
398 Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2010; 14:454 – 461.
- 399 23. Choi Y, Lee C, Song J. Review of Renewable Energy Technologies Utilized in the Oil and Gas
400 Industry, International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2).
- 401 24. Goodbody C, Walsh E, McDonnell KP, Owende P. Regional Integration of Renewable Energy
402 Systems in Ireland – The Role of Hybrid Energy Systems for Small Communities, Electrical Power
403 and Energy Systems, 2013; 44:713 – 720.
- 404 25. Hossain E, Perez R, Bayindir R. Implementation of Hybrid Energy Storage Systems to
405 Compensate Microgrid Instability in the Presence of Constant Power Loads, International Journal of
406 Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2).
- 407 26. Kumar A, Biswas A. Techno-Economic Optimization of a Stand-alone PV/PHS/Battery Systems
408 for very low load Situation, International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2):848-856.
- 409 27. Lipu MSH, Hafiz MG, Ullah MS, Hossain A, Munia FY. Design Optimization and Sensitivity
410 Analysis of Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems: A case of Saint Martin Island in Bangladesh,
411 International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2).
- 412 28. Margeta J, Glasnovic Z. Feasibility of the Green Energy Production by Hybrid Solar + Hydro
413 Power System in Europe and Similar Climate Areas, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,
414 2010; 14:1580 –1590.
- 415 29. McHenry MP. Small-scale  Stand-alone and Grid-connected Photovoltaic, Wind,
416 Hydroelectric, Biodiesel, and Wood Gasification System’s Simulated Technical, Economic, and
417 Mitigation Analyses for Rural Regions in Western Australia, Renewable Energy, 2012; 38:195 – 205.
- 418 30. Ribal A, Amir AK, Toaha S, Kusuma J, Khaeruddin K. Tidal Current Energy Resource Assessment
419 Around Buton Island, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia, International Journal of Renewable Energy
420 Research, 2017; 7(2).
- 421 31. Samy MM. Techno-Economic Analysis of Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems for Electrification of
422 Rustic Area in Egypt, Innovative Systems Design and Engineering, 2017; 8(1).
- 423 32. Melikoglu M. Vision 2023: Feasibility Analysis of Turkey’s Renewable Energy Projection,
424 Renewable Energy, 2013; 50:570 – 575.

- 425 33. Mondal MAH, Kamp LM, Pachova NI. Drivers, Barriers, and Strategies for Implementation of
426 Renewable Energy Technologies in Rural Areas in Bangladesh - An Innovation System Analysis,
427 Energy Policy, 2010; 38:4626 - 4634.
- 428 34. Okonkwo EC, Okwose CF, Abbasoglu S. Techno-Economic Analysis of the Potential Utilization of
429 a Hybrid PV-Wind Turbine System for Commercial Buildings in Jordan, International Journal of
430 Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2):908-914.
- 431 35. Paun D, Paun CA. The Impact of Renewable Energy on the Price of Energy in Romania,
432 International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 2017; 7(2).
- 433 36. Toklu E. Overview of Potential and utilization of Renewable Energy Sources in Turkey,
434 Renewable Energy, 2013; 50:456 – 463.
- 435 37. Tukenmez M, Demireli E. Renewable Energy Policy in Turkey with the New Legal Regulations,
436 Renewable Energy, 2012; 39:1–9.
- 437 38. UNFCCC. Facilitating Technology Deployment in Distributed Renewable Electricity Generation,
438 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2015.
439 [http://unfccc.int/ttclear/misc/StaticFiles/gnwoerkstatic/TECdocuments/6d62b12d1a87483da](http://unfccc.int/ttclear/misc/StaticFiles/gnwoerkstatic/TECdocuments/6d62b12d1a87483da716d80e77d5349b/b4539aaf699b459e9998606868_dd49bd.pdf)
440 [716d80e77d5349b/b4539aaf699b459e9998606868_dd49bd.pdf](http://unfccc.int/ttclear/misc/StaticFiles/gnwoerkstatic/TECdocuments/6d62b12d1a87483da716d80e77d5349b/b4539aaf699b459e9998606868_dd49bd.pdf)
- 441 39. WHO. Health in 2015: From Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to Sustainable Development
442 Goals (SDGs), 2015; 3-11. www.who.int
- 443 40. Yuksel I. Renewable Energy Status of Electricity Generation for Future Prospect, Renewable
444 Energy, 2013; 50:1037-1043.
- 445 41. Nepal R. Roles and potentials of renewable energy in less-developed economies: the case of
446 Nepal”, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16, 2200–2206.
- 447 42. Nfah EM, Ngundam JM. Identification of stakeholders for sustainable renewable energy
448 applications in Cameroon, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2012; 16:4661–4666.
- 449 43. Ong HC, Mahlia TMI, Masjuki HH. A review on energy scenario and sustainable energy in
450 Malaysia, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011; 15, 639–647.
- 451 44. Sambo AS. Enhancing Renewable Energy access for Sustainable Socio-economic Development
452 in Sub-Saharan Africa. J. of Renewable and Alternative Energy Technologies, 2015; 1(1), 1-5.
- 453 45. Abbasi T, Abbasi SA. Small Hydro and the Environmental Implications of its Extensive Utilization,
454 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011; 15:2134-2143.
- 455 46. Bakken TH, Sundt H, Ruud A, Harby A. Development of Small versus Large Hydropower in
456 Norway Comparison of Environmental Impacts, Technoport RERC Research, Energy Procedia, 2012;
457 20, 185-199.
- 458 47. Chen S, Chen B, Su M. An Estimation of Ecological Risk after Dam Construction in LRGR, China:
459 Changes on Heavy Metal Pollution and Plant Distribution, Procedia Environmental Sciences, 2010
460 International workshop from the International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software,
461 2011: 5:153–159.
- 462 48. Chen S, Fath B, Chen B, Su M. Evaluation of the Changed Properties of Aquatic Animals after
463 Dam Construction using Ecological Network Analysis, Procedia Environmental Sciences, International
464 workshop from the International Congress on Environmental Modelling and Software, 2011; 5:114 –
465 119.
- 466 49. da Silva JJLS, Marques M, Damásio JM. Impacts on Tocantins River Aquatic Ecosystems
467 Resulting from the Development of the Hydropower Potential, Ambiente Água, Interdisciplinary
468 Journal of Applied Science, 2010; 5(1):189-203.
- 469 50. Hussey K, Pittock J. The Energy–Water Nexus: Managing the Links between Energy and Water
470 for a Sustainable Future, Ecology and Society, 2012; 17(1):31 – 39.
- 471 51. Khadka RB, Mathema A, Shrestha US. Determination of the Significance of Environmental
472 Impacts of Development Projects: A Case Study of Environmental Impact Assessment of Indrawati-3
473 Hydropower Project in Nepal, Journal of Environmental Protection, 2011; 2:1021–1031.
- 474 52. Jia-kun LI. Research on Prospect and Problem for Hydropower Development of China, Inter.
475 Conference on Modern Hydraulic Engineering, Procedia Engineering, 2012; 28:677-682.
- 476 53. Olukanmi DO, Salami AW. Assessment of Impact of Hydropower Dams Reservoir Outflow on the
477 Downstream River Flood Regime – Nigeria’s Experience, Hydropower – Practice and Application, Dr.
478 Hossein Sammad-Boroujeni (Ed.), 2012. [http://www.intechopen.com/books/hydropower-practice-and-](http://www.intechopen.com/books/hydropower-practice-and-application/assessment-of-impact-of-hydropower-dams-reservoir-overflow-on-the-downstream-river-flood-regime-niger)
479 [application/assessment-of-impact-of-hydropower-dams-reservoir-overflow-on-the-downstream-river-](http://www.intechopen.com/books/hydropower-practice-and-application/assessment-of-impact-of-hydropower-dams-reservoir-overflow-on-the-downstream-river-flood-regime-niger)
480 [flood-regime-niger](http://www.intechopen.com/books/hydropower-practice-and-application/assessment-of-impact-of-hydropower-dams-reservoir-overflow-on-the-downstream-river-flood-regime-niger)
- 481 54. Sousa Junior WC, Reid J. Uncertainties in Amazon Hydropower Development: Risk Scenarios
482 and Environmental Issues around the Belo Monte Dam, Water Alternatives, 2010; 3(2):249 – 268.

483 55. Usman A, Ifabiyi IP. Socio-Economic Analysis of the Operational Impacts of Shiroro Hydropower
484 Generation in the Lowland Areas of Middle River Niger, Inter. Journal of Academic Research in
485 Business and Social Sciences, 2012; 2(4):57–76.

486 56. Amor MB, Pineau P, Gaudreault C, Samson R. Electricity Trade and GHG Emissions:
487 Assessment of Quebec's Hydropower in the North-Eastern American Market (2006 – 2008), Energy
488 Policy, 2011; 39:1711–1721.

489 57. Baumann P, Stevanella G. Fish Passage Principles to be considered for Medium and Large
490 Dams: The Case Study of a Fish Passage Concept for a Hydroelectric Power Project on the Mekong
491 Mainstream in Laos, Ecological Engineering, 2012; 48:79–85.

492 58. Chanudet V, Descloux S, Harby A, Sundt H, Hansen BH, Brakstad O, Serça D, Guerin F. Gross
493 CO₂ and CH₄ Emissions from the Nam Ngum and Nam Leuk Sub-Tropical Reservoirs in Lao PDR,
494 Science of the Total Environment, 2012; 409:5382 – 5391.

495 59. Demarty M, Bastien, J. GHG Emissions from Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Tropical and Equatorial
496 Regions: Review of 20 years of CH₄ Emission Measurements, Energy Policy, 2011; 39(7):4197–4206.

497 60. Deng Z, Carlson TJ, Dauble DD, Ploskey GR. Fish Passage Assessment of an Advanced
498 Hydropower Turbine and Conventional Turbine Using Blade-Strike Modelling, Energies, 2011; 4:57–
499 67.

500 61. Deng ZD, Martinez JJ, Colotelo AH, Abel TK, LeBarge AP, Brown RS, Pflugrath BD, Mueller RP,
501 Carlson TJ, Seaburg AG, Johnson RL, Ahmann ML. Development of External and Neutrally Buoyant
502 Acoustic Transmitters for Juvenile Salmon Turbine Passage Evaluation, Fisheries Research, 2012;
503 113: 94-105.

504 62. Fjeldstad HP, Uglem I, Diserud OH, Fiske P, Forseth T, Kvingedal E, Hvidsten NA, Økland F,
505 Järnegren J. A Concept for Improving Atlantic salmon *Salmo Salar* Smolt Migration Past Hydro Power
506 Intakes, Journal of Fish Biology, 2012; 81:642-663.

507 63. Miller VB, Landis AE, Schaefer LA. A Benchmark for Life Cycle Air Emissions and Life Cycle
508 Impact Assessment of Hydrokinetic Energy Extraction using Life Cycle Assessment, Renewable
509 Energy, 2011; 36: 1040-1046.

510 64. Travade F, Larinier M, Subra S, Gomes P, De-Oliveira E. Behaviour and passage of European
511 Silver Eels (*Anguilla Anguilla*) at a Small Hydropower Plant during their downstream Migration,
512 Knowledge and Mgt of Aquatic Ecosystems, 2010; 398:1- 19.

513 65. Uzoevulu NL. Management and Maintenance of Reservoir Water Storage in a Hydropower
514 Station, Proc. of 19th Engineering Assembly of Council for the Regulation of Engineering in Nigeria
515 (COREN), 2010, 126 – 130.

516 66. Yewhalaw D, Legesse W, Van Bortel W, Gebre-Selassie S, Kloos H, Duchateau L, Speybroeck N.
517 Malaria and Water Resource Development: The Case of Gilgel-Gibe Hydroelectric Dam in Ethiopia,
518 Malaria Journal, 2009; 8:21 – 30.

519 67. Barros RM; Filho GLT. Small Hydropower and Carbon Credits Revenue for an SHP Project in
520 National Isolated and Interconnected Systems in Brazil, Renewable Energy, 2012; 48:2 –34.

521 68. Capik M, Yilmaz AO, Cavusoglu I. Hydropower for Sustainable Energy Development in Turkey:
522 The Small Hydropower Case of the Eastern Black Sea Region, Renewable and Sustainable Energy
523 Reviews, 2012; 16:6160– 6172.

524 69. Kaunda CS, Kimambo CZ, Nielsen TK. Potential of Small-Scale Hydropower for Electricity
525 Generation in Sub-Saharan Africa, ISRN Renewable Energy, 2012, Article ID 132606,

526 70. Nautiyal H, Singal SK, Varun, Sharma A. Small hydropower for sustainable energy development
527 in India, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011; 15:2021-2027.

528 71. Ohunakin OS, Ojolo SJ, Ajayi OO. Small Hydropower (SHP) Development in Nigeria, Renewable
529 and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2011; 15:2006 – 2013.

530 72. Stark BH, Andò E, Hartley G. Modelling and Performance of a Small Siphonic Hydropower
531 System, Renewable Energy, 2011; 36:2451 – 2464.

532 73. Taele BM, Mokhutšoane L, Hapazari I. An Overview of Small Hydropower Development in
533 Lesotho: Challenges and Prospects, Renewable Energy, 2012; 44:448 - 452.

534 74. Hamududu B, Killingtveit A. Assessing Climate Change Impacts on Global Hydropower, Energies,
535 2012; 5:305 - 322.

536 75. ESHA. Current Status of Small Hydropower Development in the EU-27. 2010. European Small
537 Hydropower Association. <http://www.streammap.esha.be/6.0.html>.

538 76. ESHA. Small hydro For Developing Countries, Support from Thematic Network on Hydropower
539 Project, European Small Hydropower Association, European Commission. 2006.

540 77. ESHA. Guide on How to Develop a Small Hydropower Plant. 2004. European Small Hydropower
541 Association. <http://www.esha.be/>.

542 78. Ion CP Marinescu C. Autonomous Micro Hydro Power Plant with Induction Generator, *Renewable*
543 *Energy*, 2011; 36:2259 – 2267.

544 79. Kosa P, Kulworawanichpong T, Srivoramas R, Chinkulkijniwat A, Horpibulsuk S, Teaumroong N.
545 The Potentials of Micro-Hydropower Projects in Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand, *Renewable*
546 *Energy*, 2011; 36:1133 – 1137.

547 80. Pascale A, Urmee T, Moore A. Life cycle assessment of a community hydroelectric system in rural
548 Thailand, *Renewable Energy*, 2011; 36(11):2799-2808.

549 81. Edeoja AO, Ibrahim JS, Kucha EI. Suitability of Pico-Hydropower Technology for Addressing the
550 Nigerian Energy Crisis – A review, *Inter. Journal of Engineering Inventions*, 2015; 4(9):17-40.

551 82. Al Amin R, Talukder AH. Introducing Pico Hydro from Daily Used Water and Rain Water, *Int.*
552 *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 2014; 4(1) (2):382-385.

553 83. Fadhel MI. Research and Development Aspects of Pico-Hydropower, *Renewable and Sustainable*
554 *Energy Reviews*, 2012; 16:5861–5878.

555 84. Haidar MA, Senan FM, Noman A, Taha R. Utilization of Pico hydro generation in domestic and
556 commercial loads, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2012; 16:518-524.

557 85. Lahimer V, Alghoul M, Sopian KB, Fadhel MI. Research and development aspects of pico-hydro
558 power, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2012; 16(8): 5861-5878.

559 86. Martin S, Sharma AK. Analysis on Rainwater Harvesting and its Utilization for Pico Hydro Power
560 Generation, *Inter. Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology*, 2014; 3(6).

561 87. Nimje AA, Dhanjode G. Pico-Hydro-Plant for Small Scale Power Generation in Remote Villages,
562 *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology*, 2015; 9(1) Ver. III:59-67.

563 88. Othman MM, Razak AJ, Basar M. Muhammad N, Wan Mohammad W, Sopian K, A Review of the
564 Pico-Hydro Turbine: Studies on the Propeller Hydro Type, *Inter. Review of Mech. Engineering*, 2015;
565 9(6):527-535.

566 89. Ridzuan MJM, Hafis SM, Azduwin K, Firdaus KM, Zarina Z. Development of pico-hydro turbine for
567 domestic use, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 2015; 695:408-412.

568 90. Smith N, Bush SR. A Light Left in the Dark: The Practice and Politics of Pico Hydro Power in the
569 Load PDR, *Energy Policy*, 2010; 38(1):116-127.

570 91. Smith N, Williams A. Assessment of Pico Hydro as an Option for Off Grid Electrification in Kenya,
571 *Micro Hydro Centre Nottingham Trent University*, 2003; 1357-1369.

572 92. Sopian K, Ab. Razak J. Pico Hydro: Clean Power from Small Streams, *Proc. of the 3rd WSEAS.*
573 *International Conference on Renewable Energy Sources*, 2009; 414-419.

574 93. Susanto J, Stamp S. Local Installation Methods for Low Head Pico-Hydropower in the Lao PDR,
575 *Renewable Energy*, 2012; 44:439 - 447.

576 94. Williams A. Pico Hydro for Cost Effective Lighting, *Boiling Point Magazine*, May 2007; 14-16.

577 95. Wohlgemuth M. Assessment of Pico-hydro Power potential in Rural Ethiopia, Bachelor of Science
578 Thesis, Department for Hydrology and River Basin Management, Technische Universität München,
579 2014.

580 96. Williams AA, Simpson R. Pico Hydro – Reducing Technical Risk for Rural Electrification,
581 *Renewable Energy*, 2009; 34:1986 – 1991.

582 97. Xuhe W, Baoshan Z, Lei T, Jie Z, Shuliang C. Development of a pump-turbine runner based on
583 multi-objective optimization, 27th IAHR Symposium on Hydraulic Machinery and Systems (IAHR
584 2014), IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2014, 22.

585 98. Maher P. Kenya Case Study 1 at Kathamba and Case Study 2 at Thima, 2002.
586 <http://www.eee.nottingham.ac.uk/picohydro/documents.html#kenya>

587 99. Edeoja AO, Ibrahim JS, Kucha EI. Conceptual Design of a Simplified Decentralized Pico
588 Hydropower with Provision for Recycling Water, *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and*
589 *Technology*, 2015; 2(2).

590 100. Edeoja AO, Awuniji L. Experimental Investigation of the Influence of Penstock Configuration and
591 Angle of Twist of Flat Blades on the Performance of a Simplified Pico-Hydro System, *European*
592 *Journal of Engineering Research and Science*, 2017; 2(7):14-22.

593 101. Edeoja AO, Ajeibi LE, Effiong AD. Influence of penstock outlet diameter and turbine hub to blade
594 ratio on the performance of a simplified Pico hydropower system, *Int. J. of Precious Engineering*
595 *Research and Applications*, 2017; 2(5):31-46.

596 102. Edeoja AO, Ekoja M, Tuleun LT. Effect of penstock area reduction and number of turbine v-
597 blades on the performance of a simple pico-hydropower system, *European Journal of Advances in*
598 *Engineering and Technology*, 2017; 4(11): 797-806.

599 103. Edeoja AO, Edeoja JA, Ogboji ME. Effect of the included angle of v-shaped blade on the
600 performance of a simplified pico-hydro system, *Int. J. of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 2017;
601 8(8):1208–1213.

602 104. Edeoja AO, Ibrahim JS, Kucha EI. Investigation of the Effect of Penstock Configuration on the
603 Performance of a Simplified Pico-hydro System, *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*,
604 2016; 14(5):1-11.

605 105. Edeoja AO, Ibrahim JS, Tuleun LT. Effect of Blade Cross-Section on the Performance of a
606 Simplified Pico-Hydro System, *American Journal of Engineering Research*, 2016; 5(12):1 – 9.

607 106. Edeoja AO, Edeoja JA, Ogoji ME. Effect of Number of Turbine Runner V-Blades on the
608 Performance of a Simple Pico-Hydro System, Accepted for publication in *International Journal of*
609 *Engineering and Technology*, 2016, Reference number 316145147726833.

610 107. Ipilakya DT, Edeoja AO, Kulugh A. Influence of Penstock Outlet Diameter and Flat Blade
611 Lateral Twist Angle on the Performance of a Simplified Pico Hydropower System, *International*
612 *Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 2017; 1(5):394-406.

613 108. Uppal SL, Rao S. *Electrical Power Systems: Generation, Transmission, Distribution and*
614 *Utilization of Electrical Energy*, 15th Edition, Khanna Publishers, New Delhi, 2012; 175 – 180.

615 109. Ingram G. *Basic Concepts in Turbomachinery*, Grant Ingram and Ventus Publishing ApS, 2009;
616 17, 88-91. www.bookboon.com

617 110. Douglas JF, Gasiorek JM, Swaffield JA. *Fluid Mechanics*, ELBS Edition of the 3rd Edition, ISBN 0
618 582 30555 1, Produced by Longman Singapore Publishers (Pte) Ltd, 1997; 315, 316, 666 – 678.

619 111. Muchira MJ. Performance of a Modified Vehicle Drive System in Generating Hydropower, A
620 Thesis submitted for MSc. Renewable Energy Technology, Kenyatta University, Kenya, April 2011,
621 44.

622 112. Wang L. A Micro Hydro Power Generation System for Sustainable Micro Grid Development in
623 Rural Electrification in Africa, In: IEE Power Energy Society Meeting, Calgary, Canada, 2009; 1-8.

624 113. At-Tasneem MA, Azam WM, Jamaludin U. A Study on the Effect of Flow Rate on the Power
625 Generated by a Pico Hydro Power Turbine, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 2014; 30:420-423.

626 114. Jintao L, Shuhong L, Yulin W, Lei J, Leqin W, Yuekun S. Numerical Investigation of the Hump
627 Characteristic of a Pump –Turbine Based on an Improved Cavitation Model, *Computers & Fluids*,
628 2012; 68:105-111.

629 115. Rajput RK. *Heat and Mass Transfer (S. I. units)*, 6th revised edition, 352-371. S. Chand and
630 Company PVT limited, Ram Nagar, New Delhi, 2015.

631 116. Gatte MT, Kadhim RA. Hydro Power, In *Energy Conservation*, A. Z. Ahmed (Ed.), InTech Janeza
632 Trdine 9, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia, 2012; 95–124. www.intechopen.com.

633 117. Kunwor A. Technical Specifications of Micro Hydro Systems Design and its Implementation:
634 Feasibility Analysis and Design of Lamaya Khola Micro Hydro Power Plant. BSc. Thesis, Arcada
635 Polytechnic. 2012.

636 118. ESHA. Small Hydropower Energy Efficiency Campaign Action (SHERPA) - Strategic Study for
637 the Development of Small Hydro Power (SHP) in the European Union. 2008. European Small
638 Hydropower Association. <http://www.esha.be/>.

639 119. Harvey A, Brown A, Hettiarachi P, Inversin A. *Micro hydro design manual: A guide to small-scale*
640 *water power schemes*, Intermediate Technology Publications, 1993.

641 120. Derakhshan S, Kasaeian N. Optimal design of axial hydro turbine for micro hydropower plants,
642 26th IAHR Symposium on Hydraulic Machinery and Systems, IOP Conf. Series: Earth and
643 Environmental Science, 2012, 15.

644 121. Chitrakar P. *Micro-Hydropower Design Aids Manual*, Kathmandu: Small Hydropower Promotion
645 Project (SHPP/GTZ) and Mini-Grid Support Programme (MGSP/AEPC-ESAP), Nepal, 2004.

646 122. Smith N, Ranjitkar G. Nepal Case Study - Pico Hydro for Rural Electrification, 2000.
647 <http://www.eee.nottingham.ac.uk/picohydro/documents.html>

648 123. Smith N, Ranjitkar G. Nepal Case Study–Part One: Installation and performance of the Pico
649 Power Pack,” *Pico Hydro Newsletter*, April 2000.

650 124. Simpson R, Williams A. Design of Propeller Turbines for Pico Hydro, Version 1.1c, April 2011.
651 www.picohydro.org.uk.

652 125. Maher P, Smith N. *Pico Hydro for Village Power - A Practical Manual for Schemes up to 5kW in*
653 *Hilly Areas*, Micro Hydro Centre, Nottingham University, 2001. www.eee.nottingham.ac.uk.

654 126. Maher P, Smith N, Williams A. Assessment of Pico Hydro as an Option for Off Grid Electrification
655 in Kenya, *Renewable Energy*, 2003; 28:1369-1369.

656 127. Maher P. Design and implementation of a 2.2 kW Pico hydro serving 110 households, Micro
657 Hydro Centre – Nottingham Trent University, 2002.
658 <http://www.eee.nottingham.ac.uk/picohydro/documents.html>.

659 128. Ho-Yan B. Design of a Low Head Pico Hydro Turbine for Rural Electrification in Cameroon,
660 Thesis presented to The University of Guelph, Canada, 2012.
661 <https://dspace.lib.uoguelph.ca/xmlui/handle/10214/3552>

662 129. RETScreen. Clean Energy Project Analysis Engineering & Cases Textbook: Small Hydro Project
663 Analysis. CANMET Energy Technology Centre–Varenes in Collaboration with NASA, UNEP & GEF.
664 2004. <http://www.retscreen.net/>
665 130. Ajuwape T, Ismail OS. Design and Construction of a 5 kW Turbine for a Proposed Micro
666 Hydroelectric Power Plant Installation at Awba Dam University of Ibadan, *International Journal of*
667 *Electrical and Power Engineering*, 2011; 5(3):131– 138.
668 131. Sangal S, Garg A, Kumar D. Review of Optimal Selection of Turbines for Hydroelectric Projects,
669 *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 2013; 3(3):424 – 430.
670 132. Kaunda CS, Kimambo CZ, Nielsen TK. Hydropower in the Context of Sustainable Energy
671 Supply: A Review of Technologies and Challenges, *ISRN Renewable Energy*, 2012.
672 133. Singh P, Nestmann F. Experimental optimization of a free vortex propeller runner for micro hydro
673 application, *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 2009; 33(6):991-1002.
674 134. Singh P, Nestmann F. An Optimization Routine on a Prediction and Selection Model for the
675 Turbine Operation of Centrifugal Pumps, *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 2010; 34:152 –
676 164.
677 135. Yang S, Derakhshan S, Kong F. Theoretical, Numerical and Experimental Prediction of Pump as
678 Turbine Performance, *Renewable Energy*, 2012; 48:507 - 513.
679 136. Yassi Y, Hasemloo S. Improvement of the Efficiency of a New Micro Hydro Turbine at Part Loads
680 due to Installing Guide Vane Mechanism, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2010; 51(10):970-
681 1975.
682 137. Cobb BR, Sharp KV. Impulse Turbine Performance Characteristics and Their Impact on Pico-
683 Hydro Installations, *Renewable Energy*, 2013; 50:959-964.
684 138. Cobb BR. Experimental Study of Impulse Turbines and Permanent Magnet Alternators for Pico-
685 hydropower Generation, Master degree thesis, Dept. of Mech. Engineering, Oregon State University,
686 2011.
687 139. Katre SS, Bapat VN. Review of Literature on Induction generators and Controllers for Pico Hydro
688 Applications, *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology*, 2014;
689 1(1):1-9.
690 140. Lajqi S, Lajqi N, Hamidi B. Design and Construction of Mini Hydropower Plant with Propeller
691 Turbine, *International Journal of Contemporary Energy*, 2016; 2(1):1-13.
692 141. Pacayra N, Sabate C, Villalon A. Assessment of Streams in Oras, Eastern Samar: A Basis for
693 the Design of Pico- hydro Projects and Potential Site for Installation, *Imperial Journal of*
694 *Interdisciplinary Research*, 2016; 2(12):1083-1088.
695 142. Park JH, Lee NJ, Wata JV, Hwang YC, Kim YT, Lee YH. Analysis of a Pico hydro turbine
696 performance by runner blade shape using CFD, 26th IAHR Symposium on Hydraulic Machinery and
697 Systems IOP Publishing IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2012; 15.
698 143. Ramos HM, Kenov KN, Pillet B. Stormwater storage pond configuration for hydropower
699 solutions: adaptation and optimization, *J. of Sustainable Development*, 2012; 5(8):27–42.
700 144. Vicente S, Bludszweit H. Flexible Design of a Pico-Hydropower System for Laos Communities,
701 *Renewable Energy*, 2012; 44:406 - 413.
702 145. Wang L, Wei D. The Optimum Structural Design for Spiral Case in Hydraulic Turbine, *Procedia*
703 *Engineering, Advances in Control Engineering and Information Science*, 2011; 15:4874 – 4879.
704 146. Singh P, Nestmann F. Internal Hydraulic Analysis of Impeller Rounding in Centrifugal Pumps as
705 Turbines, *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 2011; 35:121–134.
706 147. Singh P, Nestmann F. Experimental investigation of the influence of blade height and blade
707 number on the performance of low head axial flow turbines, *Renewable Energy*, 2011; 36(1):272-281.
708 148. Williamson SJ, Stark BH, Booker JD. Low Head Pico Hydro Turbine Selection using a Multi-
709 Criteria Analysis, *Proc. of the World Renewable Energy Congress, Hydropower Applications*, B.
710 Moshfegh (Ed.), 2011; 6:1377 – 1385, 8 – 13 May, 2011, Linköping, Sweden.,
711 149. Yadav G, Chauhan AK. Design and Development of Pico Micro Hydro System by using
712 Household Water Supply, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 2014;
713 3(10):114-119.
714 150. Alnakhilani MM, Mukhtar DA, Himawanto AA, Danardono D. Effect of the Bucket and Nozzle
715 Dimension on the Performance of a Pelton Water Turbine, *Modern Applied Science*, 2015; 9(1):25-33.
716 151. Chukwunkeke JL, Achebe CH, Okolie PC, Okwudibe HA. Experimental Investigation on the effect
717 of Head and Bucket Splitter Angle on the Power Output of a Pelton Turbine, *Int. Journal of Energy*
718 *Engineering*, 2014; 4(4):81-87.
719