



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_43683
Title of the Manuscript:	Deturbidization of vegetable oil refinery wastewater with extracted fish scale biomass via coagulation process; Non-linear kinetics studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Novel work presented well but little more description is required in the analysis as per the observations.</b></p> <p>1. Adsorption kinetic model description (173) has to be elaborated to justify the result as per the model and With expansion of symbol below all the tables.</p> <p>2. The chemical structure of the compound present in the FS should be depicted in the analysis of FTIR (213).</p> <p>3. At 268 the FSC dosages in how much volume?</p> <p>4. At 336 to 340 <math>N_t</math>, <math>N_0</math>, <math>N_1-N_4</math> &amp; <math>N_i</math> is not reflecting in the plot and fig.no is not mentioned in the text.</p> <p>5. At 366 Non-Linear plot is missing</p> <p>6. At 398 Nomenclature word should replace by abbreviation to avoid confusion.</p> <p>7. 303-310 &amp; 372-380 theme font is different</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B. Rupini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indira Gandhi National Open University, India</b>