



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_42193
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTROL TECHNIQUES AND POWER FACTOR CORRECTION METHODS: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All figures are low quality and should be redrawn by the author, not copy from the reference There are many methods are described only without any illustrated figures. The described figures should be added. The paper didn't have any results to show the benefit of PFC. The author mentioned Combined power factor correction method before distributed power factor correction method. I think that, the distributed power factor correction method should be mentioned early. The author mentioned ref[9] before ref[4] . The order of the references in the paper should be corrected. Page 1, Line 41, The author mentioned that, the PFC improves stability. Which type of stability (voltage stability or angle stability). Page2, Line 66. Correct [1`3] The effects of harmonics on the PFC methods should be explained. <p>The point of PFC is an interested practical point. Therefore, the Author should add a practical reference.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud M. Elkholy
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt