



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>                                                                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JERR_43683                                                                                                                               |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Deturbidization of vegetable oil refinery wastewater with extracted fish scale biomass via coagulation process; Non-linear kinetics studies |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                                   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | S/N | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                          | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |     |                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | 1.  | Check grammar and correct appropriately                                                                                                     | Thanks for your scholarly contribution. Grammatical mistakes errors due to syntax have been corrected (all revisions were highlighted in blue color)                          |
|                                     | 2.  | Line 74: rephrase this line; is not clear (obtained from collected from Otuocha market)                                                     | Thanks for the observation. Typographical and grammatical mistakes errors due to syntax have been corrected (all revisions were highlighted in blue color)                    |
|                                     | 3.  | Be consistent with the in text referencing Ani et al., 2011 or Ani et al, 2011                                                              | Thanks for the observation. The typographical error has been corrected (all revisions were highlighted in blue color)                                                         |
|                                     | 4.  | Line 78: is the ES same as FS?                                                                                                              | Thanks for the observation..The correct abbreviation is FS which is Fish Scale (see line 78)                                                                                  |
|                                     | 5.  | Line 129: [Ani et al., 2011; Ugonabo et al., 2012] use (Ani et al., 2011; Ugonabo et al., 2012). Check and correct in the rest of the text. | The correction has been effected and highlighted in blue colour (see line 129)                                                                                                |
|                                     | 6.  | Line 139: There is no $K_R$ but $K_B$ . Are the same?                                                                                       | There is $K_R$ and same time $K_B$ (see line 142)                                                                                                                             |
|                                     | 7.  | Line 198: (see table 1), I think is table 2                                                                                                 | Thanks for the observation. The correction has been effected (see line 200)                                                                                                   |
|                                     | 8.  | Line 200: It would be good to have the national standard alongside                                                                          | The suggested national standard have been included (all revisions were highlighted in blue color, line 202)                                                                   |
|                                     | 9.  | Line 207 & 208: Moisture, Crude protein, Ash content, crude fibre,                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                               |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                  |     |                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                          |
|----------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  |     | 208 Carbohydrates and Lipid content. (lowercase)         | Thanks for your scholarly contribution. The corrections have been effected and highlighted in blue colour (see line 209 & 210)                                           |
|                                  | 10  | Line 230: were made are presented in table 4 ( rephrase) | Thanks for the observation. Typographical and grammatical mistakes errors due to syntax have been corrected (all revisions were highlighted in blue color, see line 232) |
|                                  | 11. | Heading 3.5 and 3.5.1 should be merged.                  | Thanks immensely for your scholarly suggestion.. However, 3.5.1 is a sub heading under heading 3.5, likewise 3.5.2 and 3.5.3                                             |
|                                  | 12. | Line 323: (Km). m is a subscript or not?                 | Thanks for the observation. m is a subscript. Typographical error has been corrected (all revisions were highlighted in blue color, see line 323, 328, 330, 333 & 334)   |
|                                  | 13. | Line 341: (Menkiti and Ejimofofor, 2016).                | The correction has been effected and highlighted in blue colour (see line 342)                                                                                           |
|                                  | 14. | Line 344: (Obiora et al., 2014).                         | The correction has been effected and highlighted in blue colour (see line 345)                                                                                           |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments |     |                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                          |

**PART 2:**

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                               |