



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_43346
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Software for Life Cycle Cost Analysis of Solar Photovoltaic (PV) and Diesel Generator Systems in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION Line 20 "At present about...." Should read "At present, about...." Line 38 "...on going..." should read "...ongoing..." Line 39 "[5-10]" should read "Refs [5-10]" Line 55 "...requirements engineering, (RE)," should read "...requirements engineering (RE)." Line 56 "...Unified Modeling Language, (UML)" should read "Unified Modeling Language (UML)." Line 57 "use" should be deleted Line 75 "...is done using" should read "...was done using" Line 76 "...is done using" should read "...was done using" Line 87 Author wrote "...top cylinder cost and single engine overhaul cost were estimated based on realistic assumption." Author will do well to mention and explain these assumptions Line 120 Author wrote "...the actor to obtain...." Author will do well to explain the word "Actor" as used here. And if word was misspelt. The correct word should be used. Line 129 "The results discussed in this chapter" should read "The results discussed in this paper" Line 157 "The LCC figure quoted..." should read "The values of LCC quoted..."	The suggested grammatical corrections have been effected. Suggested clarifications have also been made.
Optional/General comments	Tables 2,3,4,5,6,7,8 should be removed from the body of the paper and taken to the Appendices Section in order to decongest the paper and make it more easily readable. The Author must ensure that the Journal of Engineering Research and Reports format is applied both in the body of the paper and in the References section.	Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 have been moved to appendix. However, tables 7 and 8 were still retained in the body given their vital and direct citation in the results section. Thank you.