



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_40973
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction and Appraisal of solar radiations and its Intensity in South East Geopolitical Region, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Figures: Please check the size of the figure. Too large. 2) Tables: Some of the data in the Table 1 either small or cannot be seen because hided by the size of the row. 3) Introduction: Too long. Please remove the unwanted paragraphs. Too many figures in Introduction. 4) Research Method: Too short and brief. Please explain clearly the method used in the study. Too many grammatical errors.	Reviewer's comment 2 and 3 has been implemented. This reviewer's comment says that the figures are too large while the other reviewer is suggesting a larger figure using a different software. In my own suggestion, the figures sizes are okay. To enlarge it more or reducing it more is not necessary. Thanks.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		