



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JENRR_43704</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>REVIEW OF DIFFERENT PURIFICATION TECHNIQUES FOR CRUDE GLYCEROL FROM BIODIESEL PRODUCTION</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>The references will have to be reorganized. The year comes immediately after the authors' names. All journal names should be in italics. Some references have no page numbers, page number of materials consulted must be included in the references. All et al. should be italicised. In line 31 "in" should be inserted between results and a. In line 42 the highlighted text should be deleted. Check and effect the highlighted texts in lines 58 - 62, 82, 157. In table 1.0, All the vertical lines in the table should be removed to leave only three horizontal lines; two horizontal lines separating the subheadings in the table and one horizontal line closing the table</b>	Thank you for the review. The highlighted pages and corrections pointed out has been attended to. Though unfortunately, the areas the reviewer said he/she highlighted wasn't found. All other corrections have been made.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The review article is ok	Thank you