



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_41543
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimizing scour of contraction stepped spillways
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A. The writing of abstract should be more succinct following the general guideline 1. Problem statement 2. Methods 3. Results 4. Conclusion B. The author could do more justice to the paper by summarising the findings of the literatures searches. It is in the research work of Giuseppe Oliveto (2013) that was properly reported. C. The editor should use equation editor and number his equations	Noted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		