SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_44643**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Ukraine's Relations and Trade with Russia: A Game-Theoretical Illustration**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	[1] Line 7, write **Abstract [Bold]** [2] Line 8, write… This paper briefly… [3] Line 18, Bring the word "**Keywords**" to the left margin [write it Bold] [4] Line 25, write… (see for example, Aslund, 2014; Dragneva et al., 2016; Dreger et al., 2016; Mearsheimer, 2014; Samokhvalov, 2015; and Wilson, 2014). [5] Join Lines 70 & 71… [6] Line 77, write… thousands of Russian… [7] Line 88, Add reference to reference list by writing it completely as the journal citation style… [8] Line 100, Add reference at the end!! [9] Bottom Note 1, write reference as…. (Christev et al., 2008). [10] Join lines 102 and 103 [11] Add the web references to your ref list [12] Line 110, add a reference [13] Line 115 add a reference [14] Line 125 add a reference [15] Line 142 add a reference [16] Line 157 add a reference [17] Join lines 236 and 237 [18] Line 243, write… If one of the players achieves his/her goal… [19] Join Lines 281 and 282; 290 & 291; and 292 & 293 [20] Line 305, Reference must be straight not Italic [21] Join Lines 307 & 308 [22] Join Lines 314 and 315 [23] Page 14, add these references to the ref list, but complete….	

	[24] Join Lines 331 and 332 [25] Same in Page 15 [26] Join lines 360 & 361 [27] Add reference Line 375 [28] Add reference to list [29] Join lines 455 and 456 [30] Add ref to ref list	
Optional/General comments	In general, very good, interesting and attractive subject. The author(s) were clear in their research plan. The literature review is very good matching the title and the objectives. However, the author(s) have to add many references to strong statements or pieces of information reported in other citations to add validity. Also, all web site references must be researched to be written complete in the List of References end of article… Furthermore, the suggested objective is realized with adequate methodology. Results are explained and represented well. Good discussion and appropriate. Reference list must be reviewed carefully by adding missed web site references used inside the article… Also author must enrich it by adding the references as suggested above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Hussin Jose Hejase***
Department, University & Country	***Al Maaref University, Lebanon***