



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44455
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Electric Power Consumption Externalities and Patterns of Localization of Emissions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In line 20, subject 'we' must be deleted. Sentence must be rearranged like that in accordance with the result of this study. In line 28, instead of among with, because of consumption of fossil fuels In line 33, energy consumption per capita must be updated in accordance with data in year 2017 or 2018. In Figure 1 and 2, resolution of photo is so bad. These figures have to paste again from a new resource. In line 54, before using abbreviations for EG and EC, you have to explain them, and then you can use their abbreviations in line 98. In line 63, subject we! Look at the previous comment In line 69, add a comma after this. In line 73, you have to add one or more reference(s) at the end of the sentence. The paragraph beginning from line 88 have to rearranged due to the subject 'we'. In scientific paper, you have to pay attention not to use personal terms. So, all paper has to rearrange according to this rule. In the paragraph beginning from line 171, alignment of paragraph is not same with the previous one. In Table 1, the units of the variables must be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Literature review is good and enough.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Perihan Akan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Hacettepe University, Turkey</i>