



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                        |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>             |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEMT_45763</b>                                                   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Oligopolistic competition, asymmetric trade and pollution taxes</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                       |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comments</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                       |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>II. 120-121 <math>\beta</math> and <math>\delta</math> should be explained.</p> <p>II. 142-145 and II. 147-148 (11)-(16) should be explained clearly.</p> <p>I. 185 <math>C_A</math> and <math>C_B</math> should be explained clearly. The explanation is insufficient.</p> <p>I. 209 ... it is <u>lower</u> than ...</p> <p>II. 376-377 <math>C_A</math> and <math>C_B</math> do not exist in (57)-(62).</p> <p>Propositions 1-5 should be explained clearly.</p> | <p>II. 128-129 is done.</p> <p>II. footnotes 6 and 7 are done.</p> <p>I. 190-192 is done.</p> <p>I. 215 is done</p> <p>II. The results that depend on <math>C_A</math> and <math>C_B</math> were eliminated, and the explanations of these propositions were modified.</p> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>II. 158-159 <math>\psi</math> and <math>Z</math> should be explained directly below (22)..</p> <p>I. 185 "<u>where</u> <math>C_A</math> and <math>C_B</math> ..."</p> <p>I. 401 "6" should be deleted.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <p>II. 168-170 is done.</p> <p>I. 190-192 is done.</p> <p>II. 370 is done.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>Sections 2-4 are not well organized and need to be improved. The sections should be described more clearly, in a manner that is easier to understand.</p> <p>Symbols used in equations should be explained directly below the equation in which they first appear.</p> <p>There are linguistic inconsistencies and typographical errors, which should be corrected.</p>                                                                                            | <p>Parts of sections 2 and 3 were revised and modified.</p> <p>Symbols used in equations were explained directly below the equation in which they firstly appear.</p> <p>Linguistic inconsistencies and typographical errors were corrected.</p>                           |

**PART 2:**

|                                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                    | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |