



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_45532
Title of the Manuscript:	Carrying Capacity of Betawi Setu Babakan Cultural Tourism Area A Case Study in South Jakarta, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	REPORT 1. This is a well written paper. On the other hand there are some typos and grammatical errors (pls. correct.....carrying... in the title), (Put a point at the end of the sentences folk crafts as souvenirs in page 3), (.... theme parks, sports activities and events in page 5). 2. power. (Arnstein, in Bruce Mitchell, 1997:187) Point should come after the parentheses. 3. Please also correct this one as well (objects. Beeton (2006: 57). Communities) in page 5. 4. Are you sure this are correct? (...focus group discution (FGD) in page 6) and multi dementing scaling in the same page. 5. It is seen that the research is formed around the framework of MDS. It would be useful to describe this method with a paragraph. 6. In conclusion part you state that "Setu Babakan cultural tourism area is an attractive tourism area....". However, in page 2, there was a statement as "The Setu Babakan Cultural Tourism Area is less well known to the public and ranks 20th out of 42 of the most popular tourist attractions in Jakarta". There's a discrepancy here. You may want to provide an explanation for that. 7. There are some problems with some figures. Pls. Check fig.2 and fig.5.	 1. Revised 2. Revised 3. Revised 4. Revised and explained the reasons for using MDS 5. Revised 6. Setu Babakan cultural tourism area has a low number of tourist visits. The assumption of researchers is likely because of the poor carrying capacity of tourism. But the results of the study show that the carrying capacity of the dimensions of tourist attractions of Setu Babakan tourism area is interesting and unique. The low number of tourist visits is allegedly caused by a lack of promotion by the Setu Babakan tourist area manager. 7. The image has been fixed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--------------------	---



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--