



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_45222
Title of the Manuscript:	Enabling Foreign Exchange Management through GIS
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	[1] Lines 7 and 10, write Forex... [2] Line 16, write The word "Keywords" [straight and bold]... all other words just straight not italic [3] Remove underline from all Main Titles starting with Introduction. Also all main titles are Bold [4] Line 27, add reference after ... currency risks (REF). [5] Line 33, add reference after... highly informative (REF) [6] Line 38, add reference after supplier networks (REF). [7] Line 47, the reference Totty (2002) not in your List of References! [8] Lines 50 to 57, remove all first initials or names and add a dot to al. from references as follows: Thrall et al. (1998) in real estate and related industries, Can (1998) in housing and mortgage markets and Monzon-Perez et al. (2011) in improving business performance including future scenario modeling. Other authors like Heng, Kong, Pang, Shi & Ling (2003), Rikalovic et al. (2014), Li (2005) and Fernando et al. (2015) have also noted the use of GIS in industrial site selection. Berkin et al. (1998) have advocated the use of geodemographic systems coupled with spatial location models and GIS to support decision making about branch openings, closures and company mergers. Voivontas et al. (1998) [9] Line 53, the reference Heng, Kong, Pang, Shi & Ling (2003) not in your List of References! [10] Lines 60 to 64, remove all first initials or names and add a dot to al. from references as follows: Li et al. (2005) have studied the use of integrated GPS and GIS in reducing construction waste and improving construction efficiency. Cheng et al. (2007) have indicated the use of GIS to study appropriate location for shopping malls. Akright (2005), Hess, Rubin & West Jr. (2004) have documented how GIS helps in the improvement in effectiveness of data presentation. Anumba, Dainty, and Ison (2005) [11] Line 61, the references Akright (2005), Hess, Rubin & West Jr. (2004) not in your List of References! [12] Lines 72 and 73, 74, 80, 82, 85 remove all first initials or names and add a dot to al. from references as follows: Ballas and Clarke (2000) Eldrandaly et al. (2010) Fujita et al. (1999)	All changes have been made. All initials removed and all authors' names have been stated. Li (the first author) erroneously had been omitted. It has now been included. Et al. not mentioned as all authors' names have been stated. These References have been dropped.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Leyshon et al. (2008) Miller et al. (2010) [13] Lines 88, 99-100, 105, 106 remove all first initials or names and add a dot to al. from references as follows: Schreck et al. (2007) Saiz (2010) Robb and Robinson (2014) Bateman et al. (2003) Samanta et al. (2011) Noon et al. (2001) [14] Line 116, add reference after... exchange management (REF) [15] Line 119, write... decides the type... [16] Lines 134, 140, 155 write reference as... Hammer (1988) [17] Lines 147, 161 write references as... Wang et al. (2005) Jukić et al. (2015) [18] Line 202, add reference after... degrees of confidence (REF) [19] Line 207, add reference after... the needed synergies (REF) [20] Line 217, add reference after... response resources (REF) [21] Line 233, add reference after... exchange rates (REF) [22] Line 242, add reference after... company's currency (REF) [23] Line 270, write reference as Lien and Shrestha (2014) [24] Remove references not used in your text: Line 512 No. 20 Line 573 No. 43 [25] Need to discuss the implications on financial institutions, businesses, policy makers	References have been added. Implications on financial institutions and businesses appear in the concluding section.
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In general, good paper and attractive subject. The author(s) were very clear in their research plan. The abstract is not very clear about the main beneficiary, methodology followed [author mentioned spatial visualization techniques but no mention inside the literature review], and implications The introduction is good, streamlined and clear [however lacking the adequate references to support main ideas and to add validity with other works] The literature review is not very satisfactory because it only recounts what others have used with no specific examples of the technical potential of the software GIS to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself and to the discussions. No mention of a methodology per se The discussion accompanied by the supporting arguments are ok but lacking many references to support the main ideas Proofreading is needed References need careful review ... 1. There are intext references not mentioned in the list of references 2. There are references in the List of references not cited inside the manuscript 3. Many references are lacking to support main ideas within the text Inflation of references as mentioned above in detail: 1. There are intext references not mentioned in the list of references 2. There are references in the List of references not cited inside the manuscript 3. Many references are lacking to support main ideas within the text	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	