



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44943
Title of the Manuscript:	ARE THE DETERMINANTS OF IMPORTS SIMILAR ACROSS MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS? EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author presented a very elaborate article on the important problem of the economic development of Nigeria. However, a number of questions remained unresolved. So, for example, it is not clear why these parameters were chosen for the analysis.	The reason why the parameters are chosen is articulated in lines 56-57, 62-81
Minor REVISION comments	The author has not indicated the source from which the equations used in the article are taken.	This has been addressed. See lines 349-353
Optional/General comments	In addition, it is desirable to analyze the data obtained using Durbin-Watson statistics for autocorrelation.	I have incorporated a complete diagnostic tests, including the test for autocorrelation (see lines 631-642

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) There are not ethical issues in this manuscript	I agree