



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44455
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, Electric Power Consumption Externalities and Patterns of Localization of Emissions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors presented an interesting article, which lies in the mainstream of modern economic research. However, when you read it, there are some questions. It is not clear, and the authors clearly did not explain the origin of the equation (1), although all further considerations are based on it.	Many thanks for your comment. The revised draft includes your consideration at page 8.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In addition, it is not clear why these parameters were chosen for the analysis, and not some other ones. In preparing the conclusions, the logic of their preparation is not fully covered	Section 2 relates the choice of explanatory variables to the literature as it is shown at the revised draft of the paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	. In addition, authors need to think over and present more concise and clear conclusions. After that, the article can be printed.	Thank you for your comment

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	