



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_39556
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTION FIRMS' INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL IN SOUTHEAST MEXICO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The description of methodology in the abstract should be presented more precisely (The questionnaire survey was used but there is no information about normalization method which was implemented). The last sentence considering research results is too long and difficult to understand. In lines: 32 and 225 the word "environment" should be rather in a singular form. The same case refers to "innovation". In the section devoted to methodology the references are needed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In conclusion I would emphasize that in the analysed industry a greater shortcomings in the area of structural capital than human capital were observed.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the assessment of IC components I recommend the implementation of SEM methodology.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anna Wildowicz-Giegiel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Bialystok, Poland</i>