



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34723
Title of the Manuscript:	Patenting and Technology Entrepreneurship in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Strategic Options
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are various language errors and statements which are not properly motivated. The first section which deals with the general or overview of patenting is not well grounded. As this is a qualitative paper, I would have like to see more rigorous comparison of patenting from different developing countries, the processes that they have put in place to commercialize or patent inventions. In the case of Nigeria, I would have liked to see what process a person needs to follow from invention to patenting. Another issue that the author should clearly state is what is the purpose because then the discussion would make more sense. It seems patenting is the issue – but various other things were discussed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Eslyn Isaacs</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>School of Business and Finance, University of the Western Cape, South Africa</i>