



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_44287
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Non-Interest Income on Banks' Profitability In Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract is well arranged, it has all the features of good/ carefully drafted abstract; the objective, the methodology, the scope, findings, conclusion and recommendation are all seen in abstract vividly. In literature review, only the theoretical framework is seen, no conceptual framework and Empirical review. Empirical review is very vital while writing any research paper. The references are well recommended because it adhered strictly to APA 6 th edition guideline. But the quotation of Investopedia should be removed because the Author is not supposed to quote Investopedia because it is not Authority. Apart from these few corrections, the paper is perfect.	I agree with the review. The conceptual and empirical reviews were embedded in the body of the article and have been duly separated and named as appropriate. Quotation of Investopedia has been replaced.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	