



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_43992
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Role of Agriculture Cooperatives in Optimising Gross National Happiness
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	[1] Abstract: 4th line, write... are rare, the authors felt... [2] Keywords is Bold [3] Line 17, write... population.... [then end of the sentence] because it was exposed... [4] Line 22, write... measures the country's... [then end of the sentence] Bhutan. Therefore, in Bhutan... [5] Line 28, write... ACs development is a recent phenomenon in Bhutan [10]. ACs' role in... [6] Line 36, write... Corporate Social Responsibility and ... [7] Line 37, write... Figure 1, [8] Line 38, write... consists of nine domains... [9] Line 42, write... workers' happiness... [10] Line 46, Title... Figure 1. The.... [11] Line 48, add Title.... 2. LITERATURE REVIEW [12] Line 49, title... 2.1 Worker' Happiness	We incorporated all comments and highlighted yellow in the revised manuscript. Moreover, we corrected formats [particularly titles and title numbering] of the paper as suggested by the reviewers and looking the manuscript template. We followed the SDI paper template We kept as Fig. 1 according to SDI paper template We kept as Fig. 1 according to SDI paper template



SDI Review Form 1.6

	[13] Line 51, title... 2.1.1 Psychological Wellbeing [14] Line 54, write... they include, consulting and listening to the employees.... [15] Line 67, title... 2.1.2 Health [16] Line 72, write... of ACs reduce their... [17] Line 74, write... noted that strong sense... [18] Line 80, write... to improve health in numerous ways. [19] Line 83, title... 2.1.3 Time Use [20] Line 101... Title... 2.1.4 Education [21] Line 119, Title... 2.1.5 Standard of Living [22] Line 130, write... indicates their role in... [23] Line 132. Title... 2.2 ORGANIZATIONAL CONDITION.... [24] Line 134, title... 2.2.1 Good Governance [25] Line 155, title 2.2.2 Cultural Diversity... [26] Line 165, write... cooperatives' activities... [27] Line 174.. Title ... 2.2.3 Community Vitality [28] Line 191, title... 2.2.4 Ecological Diversity..... [29] Line 195, write... human-environment interactions... [30] Line 211, title 3. CONCLUSION	Reviewer seems to misunderstand this sentence, therefore, we corrected it to ensure clarity as: As ACs are member-oriented ventures, they include, consult, and listen to the members in making important decisions.
Optional/General comments	In general, a good paper with attractive subject. The author followed well the research review process, with good literature review and secondary data support [needing at least 40 references, having 58 references], ending with acceptable conclusion. This paper contributes well to the existent literature and adds value-added knowledge to both policy makers and researchers. The recommendation to perform empirical validation next by researchers is very sound.	Thank you for positive feedback

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	