



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_43623
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the possibility of global economic crisis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The papers lacks a detailed description regarding materials/data and methods employed.</p> <p>The author(s) should take into account other factor in order to get results that are more robust. It would be good to perform diagnostic and parameter stability tests. The first approximation can be good but it does not mean that it will hold.</p> <p>Discussion and recommendations section(s) should be under one section, for example Discussion and policy implications... and then comes the Conclusion that should not be so short.</p> <p>All countries should have an Action plan, so this recommendation is not new.</p> <p>The econometric part of the paper needs more work. Right now, this paper is at the level of a professional paper.</p>	<p>The description of a way and source of data are added.</p> <p>Other factors are present at a set, but that to consider them, the volume not of one article is required. Especially as their contribution is much less, than at the used indicators. For definition of stability of data it is necessary to conduct too a separate research which isn't in the plan of a research, and can be studied later. The first approach has allowed to receive though some end result that otherwise would be difficult.</p> <p>Sections are added, but we don't consider the addition specified by the reviewer expedient as in this case the form of article will otchatsya from standard. All countries not just have to have the action plan, and the agreed action plan that сдалеко not same.</p> <p>The reviewer hasn't specified in what the lack of an econometric part therefore it isn't possible to consider such uncertain remark consists</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		