



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_42806
Title of the Manuscript:	Empirical Investigation of the Impact of Export Diversification on Economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria, 1980-2016.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Good paper with clarity in presentation, sound methodology, and good data sources (except that the data are from different sources. I think it can be accepted without any change in the content. But the quality of the picture used in the model specification is poor, so it is advisable to replace it with manual model specification.	- The data were sourced from different sources because of the scope of the study – 1980-2016. Hence, the database with data covering all the years or at least most of the years were preferred to others. - I don't understand what the reviewer meant by the poor quality of the picture used in the model specification and suggestion to replace it with manual model specification. All the equations were typed with the Micro Soft equation system and typing them without the equation system would produce a worse result.