



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_41919</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Gold Jewellery Demand and Gold Price Volatility: A Global Perspective</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>In line 21</b>, the use of below is not necessary. Expunge the use of below in any area of the paper where you refer to Figure or Table. Anyone who sees a Figure can easily trace that. In line 23, authors are advised against the use of initials while citing their references. I also advise that the authors' name follow a sequential order. GFMS (2017) coming before any other name in that line followed by Williams (2017) and so forth. However, the authors shall be separated using a semi-colon.</p> <p>In line 29, there is the need to cite scholarly papers to drive home the point which ended the same line.</p> <p><b>In lines</b> 31-32, please refer to the first comment. Anywhere in your study, the use of ampersand (&amp;) is also more appropriate while your citation are in parenthesis.</p> <p>In lines 34-38, the rationale and motivation for the study was not well marshalled, hence I advise that the contribution of the study to prior literature be stated more explicitly. Examples of research efforts that focused on the short term fluctuations are also necessary. This will bring out the novelty of the current study.</p> <p>In lines 50-51, you may like you recheck for a general overhauling. It is important to back your assertion here with scholarly studies. The same is applicable for lines 54-62.</p> <p>In lines 94-96, there is the need to relate contemporary issues with the exchange of an ounce of gold with the prime currency in the world. Why such increase exist?</p> <p><b>In line</b> 210, the use of et al., at first citation anywhere in the study is unhealthy. However, the authors be cited in full, while et all should be used subsequently.</p> <p>Estimation: The estimation and econometric test seems not well motivated. There is the need to identify the rationale for employing this econometric kit. The shortcomings of the estimation should also be identified. The conclusion of the study should emanate from the findings.</p>	<p>The use of below in line 21 has been dealt with. The reference order sorted. So too has the issue of initials when citing references. As has the whole point.</p> <p>(addressed)</p> <p><b>Amended</b> accordingly</p> <p>Done, please refer to the main manuscript</p> <p>Done</p> <p><b>Et al citation</b> amended accordingly.</p> <p>Motivation is now included, conclusion is also adjusted according, please refer to the main manuscript</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>References: The references do not follow the APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition. N.B: There should be a proper indentation</p>	<b>Amended accordingly.</b>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>of reference list. Where it is a journal, it should follow this format for example:</p> <p>Ayele, A., Gabreyohannes, E., &amp; Tesfay, Y. (2017). Macroeconomic determinants of volatility for the gold price in Ethiopia: The application of GARCH and EWMA volatility models. <i>Global Business Review</i>, <b>3(4)</b>, 308-326.</p> <p>For Text Book: Dixit, A. K., &amp; Pindyck, R. S. (1994). <i>Investment under uncertainty</i>. <b>United Kingdom</b>: Princeton University Press.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>