



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_41504
Title of the Manuscript:	Public debt and economic growth: Evidence from Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: - Public debt, private debt and external debt being used interchangeably. - These terms have different meanings, hence cannot be used interchangeably. Topic may have to be revised (e.g. External debt and economic growth: Evidence from Tanzania). Last line of the abstract, revisit grammar. Source for figure 2 to be included. Line 226: linear specification and not line specification. Though the literature review section is broad in terms of empirical literature, it does not cover at all the theoretical underpinnings of the subject being investigated. The model in line 235 is not an endogenous growth model. Include intercepts for model 280 to 283. Lines 285 to 290 to be redone. For example: - ECT is an error correction term and not the error term. - Differentiate the error terms by using different notations. - Why talking about causality under this section? Unit root, co-integration and Granger causality models not specified. Stationarity at levels, results to be included. Lines 340 and 341 do not show VECM model results and are wrongly specified. For example, there are no results for ECM. Because of item 10 above, results interpretation and conclusion cannot be trusted. Referencing. A lot of authors cited in text do not appear under the reference list. For example, Romer (1986), Lucas (1988) and Pagano (1993).	There is no any place in Abstract I used private debt. I know public debts include external and internal (domestic) debts and I concentrated much on external, why I chose the topic that way just to avoid specificity. You can change the topic no problem. Last line amended. Source added, I just summarized the procedure to make it easy for a person to understand. Note that this is a research of about 150 pages so to make it for at least 15 pages, understand that it is a bit hard, because we can't include everything. Line and linear is just the same but changed to linear. The model not endogenous growth model of solow. I followed the Keynesian theory of Public Borrowing as the theoretical framework of this work which I will add it so as to include the theoretical part which is missing. The error correction term is different from the error term it can be seen from the models unless the model you have it has missing part. Error term represented by e and ECM as ECM and differentiated by number 1 up to 4. Stationarity at levels already included, we didn't include before to avoid to exceed the page limit. Kindly trust these results as everything done as per econometric procedure. Data were Genuinely collected and analysis done using e view seven....may be the way we write our table is different from the way you write your tables when we present the results. And everything is in order. Everything were specified only we didn't show the formulas for unit root cointegration and the rest. We will check the references probably when we were editing the references we missed out some of the references but surely we have them.
Minor REVISION comments	Proof read the entire manuscript, focusing mainly on grammar.	We tried our level best to proof read.
Optional/General comments		