



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_41089
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Entrepreneurial Factors and Small Agro-Enterprises' Success: Evidence from Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The literature review should be more enriched to get more depth of analysis - Conclusion and recommendations should be more elaborated	Literature added increasing from 45-55 in total. As suggested we elaborated the conclusion and recommendations
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract and introduction should reflect clearly the importance of the study on both the academic and the practical levels	We tried our best to indicate the importance of this study however, we kept it short.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is concise and well organized but the literature review should clearly be more enriched	Added literature in the revised paper