



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_40844
Title of the Manuscript:	CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN LISTED MANUFACTURING FIRMS IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comments 1. The topic is of importance in the field of CSR and financial performance. The author has done some considerable efforts in developing the theory. However, the literature review still needs some additions. I encourage the author to look at the following papers as they are relevant to his topic and I strongly advise that the authors use the theoretical rationales and some insights in these papers: a. Morals or Economics? Institutional Investor Preferences for Corporate Social Responsibility b. Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Performance: The Moderating Role of Reputation and Institutional Investors c. Corporate Governance and CSR: The Role of Industry Competitiveness, Women on Board, and Outside Directors 2. The methodology is appropriate and the results are well explained 3. The author should provide clearer directions for the practical implications, as well as the theoretical implications 4. The author should provide additional insights on the importance of the study in the African context in general 5. The author should explain in the beginning the difference between the western context and the African context with regard to CSR and CFP, as well as institutional investors. I recommend the paper be revised and resubmitted after taking care of the above-mentioned comments.	(1) Applying Morals or Economics? Institutional Investor Preferences for Corporate Social Responsibility, corrections have been made in line 193 (2) Good (3) This is well explained in the conclusion of the study as well as in the data analysis and interpretations, which the results of each hypothesis were compared to the stakeholder theory adopted for this study. (4) Corrected in line 416-419 (5) The study doesn't specifically focus on institutional investors, it focuses on all investors of listed manufacturing companies hence there being no need to streamline with to institutional investors only
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>