



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_40232
Title of the Manuscript:	World Energy Consumption: regional differences and features of change
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Poor typesetting and poor readability 2. Introduction is not written well and it should increase the number of references as much as possible. This is the place to set the scene and explain why the research is needed. 3. Literature review is not is well rendered. 4. Theory part lacks of enough robust test. Because the change in energy consumption by years are computed according to the theory. However, the paper dose not provide sufficient convincing evidence. More importantly, different countries have different characteristics, so the regression parameters may be different, so the same regression parameters should not be used to infer the change in energy consumption by years for the leading world powers. 5. Where the paper embody the “regional differences” ? 	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		