



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_40232
Title of the Manuscript:	World Energy Consumption: regional differences and features of change
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.How can the layout of the text be so messy? You need to re-type the full text.</p> <p>2.It is suggested to use the Origin instead of the excel format to draw the figure in the text. Only the figure drawn by using Origin can be in line with the format requirements for academic papers.</p> <p>3.There are be 4 keywords instead of the current three.</p> <p>4. It seems that online pages are used as the references currently. It is better use journal papers as the reference of academic papers and for some individual academic papers, the web can be used as reference.</p> <p>5. The connotation of E_{rel} in formula 1 should be described.</p>	<p>It is unclear how the layout can be messy, because it is presented in electronic form.</p> <p>We can not understand how many keywords should be</p> <p>The authors gratefully accept the referee's recommendation on the use of new software, but it is not possible to master it for the period provided by the editors for the modification of the article. In the future, the authors will necessarily learn how to use it</p> <p>The designation is explained in the text of the article</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		