



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_39556
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTION FIRMS' INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL IN SOUTHEAST MEXICO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very interesting article. The right methodology of research. The research question is clearly stated. The empirical data, quantitative are analyzed in appropriate ways, and written up in ways that are easy to understand. The study conclusions supported are by the analysis. The analysis adequately address the issues raised in the objective of this work. I suggest you add section 2 - Literature review. In section 2, I suggest to describe studies [1-6] and other models, for example: 1) Szczepankiewicz E.I., The use of InCaS Model for estimating the value of Human Capital in future-oriented organizations, Human Resources Management & Ergonomics Volume VI 1/2012, https://frcatel.fri.uniza.sk/hrme/files/2012/2012_1_07.pdf 2) Szczepankiewicz E.I., The use of InCaS model to identification and measuring of structural capital in small and medium enterprises, Management 2012, Vol.16, No. 2, 102-116. 3) Szczepankiewicz E.I., Concept of using the InCaS model to identification, measuring and presenting relational capital of a network enterprises. Management 2013, Vol.17, No. 1, 136-152. 4) Intellectual Capital Statement – Made in Europe, European Intellectual Capital Statement Guideline 2008. InCaS Consortium, European Commission. [online] [2012-04-20] http://www.inthekzone.com/pdfs/Intellectual_Capital_Statement.pdf 5) Intellectual Capital Statement Quality Requirements. Berlin: Fraunhofer Institute for Production Systems and Design Technology (IPK), European Commission	One of the recommended references has been included in discussion: “Some authors have emphasized the importance of comparing firms with those of similar functionality that have the best operational practices; This benchmarking system would allow them to improve in the performance of their operations in the short term [22].”
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	English language and style are fine/minor spell check required	Document grammar & spell were checked.