



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_39556
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRUCTION FIRMS' INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL IN SOUTHEAST MEXICO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The author(s) should discuss/describe more about “the intellectual capital in southeast Mexico”. 2. The author(s) should discuss more contributions in your “Conclusion”.	A reference to the only found antecedent of the study of intellectual capital in southeastern Mexico was added in the introduction: “Authors found only one antecedent about the study of Intellectual Capital in the southeast of Mexico. It was a study involving 20 companies of diverse industrial branches; It was reported that these companies are mainly knowledge consumers, but these companies do not turn its knowledge into an explicit form. It was also concluded that the most successful companies focus on innovation, but with little or no knowledge assimilation [10]. Two additional paragraphs were added in the conclusions: “Another finding that must be addressed is that the uses of information technologies are not being considered as a priority for the management of intellectual capital by construction firms, which may inhibit the use of the knowledge they have generated, for obtaining competitive advantages. In the construction firms analyzed, greater deficiencies were observed in their structural Capital than in their Human Capital; so, this must be assumed as a challenge to address in the short term.”
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		