



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_38500
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Unemployment on Economic Growth in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- In line 5, the abstract, the researchers need to be clear as what they mean "The DOLS estimate showed that unemployment rate has positive relationship between unemployment and economic growth but insignificant influence on economic growth in Tanzania over the study period" - Line 11 needs referencing - From line 25 to 43 no references were made, make it more academic by quoting the sources of your information - Line 54 to 58 talks about the findings of the study, however, findings cannot be part of background of the study. - Check line 67 for clarity. Also, in the same line, "many studies" mention some of the studies. - Line 115, "we adopt" it should be "we adopted" - Correct the tenses in methodology to past tense - Check line 163, "It helps" - Check line 169 - Check line 172 - Line 204 to 207, which hypothesis are you talking about? You have not stated any hypothesis - Check line 241 and 242	Line 5: We corrected Abstract accordingly Line 11: We corrected We removed line 25 to 43 Line 54 to 58: We removed this lines since cannot be part of background of the study Line 67: We made correction Line 115: We corrected We corrected tenses in the methodology to past tense Line 163: We removed word "it helps" and corrected sentences Line 169: We corrected Line 172: We corrected Line 204 to 207: We corrected Line 241 to 242: We corrected
Optional/General comments	This paper needs proof reading because there are a lot of grammatical issues in it	We made corrections all concerns which indicated by reviewers