



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_38302
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEREST RATE AND INVESTMENT IN MONEY MARKET INSTRUMENTS IN A DEVELOPING ECONOMY: A CASE OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Specify the implicit form for model specification before the explicit form Use α and β as parameters not alphabets Do not log variables when describing their data set- Remove the logged form for the descriptive statistics, it is only permitted for correlation matrix I hope this OLS results are not doctored. Do not abbreviate R2 and DW Stat results	Addressed, I find the comment on doctoring of the OLS result as uncomplimentary. No rule prohibits running descriptive statistics in log form. Log transformation does not change the status of the data.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Justify this write up	Not found agreeable
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Rerun descriptive statistics	Not found agreeable