



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_25512
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION AND SUSTAINABLE ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA: STAKEHOLDERS' IMPACT ASSESSMENT.
New Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION AND SUSTAINABLE ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA: STAKEHOLDERS' IMPACT ASSESSMENT IN CENTRAL NIGERIA
Type of Article	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Unfortunately, some of the mistakes and open issues were not addressed: - The authors should change equation (i) to equation (1), or modify the numbering of ensuing equations; - Equation (4) is still wrong: L is still missing in $(1-n)\log t$; the log of an exponential is not a log; - It is still unclear what Tables 2-8 really capture: what were the underlying questions? For instance, what does a percentage score of 21.5% for Environmental protection laws (Table 2) really capture? The share of respondents who considered this factor relevant, important, very important or problematic? This still needs to be clarified, otherwise nothing can be deduced from these tables! - It is still difficult to connect section 6 (discussion) with the tables. For instance, the authors mention '<i>amongst the socio-cultural factors for instance, the demand and supply of land and resources affects entrepreneurship</i>'. One automatically then looks at table 6 (Framework of socio-cultural factors) in order to verify this statement. But supply/demand issues are not mentioned in this table. This still needs to be clarified!	Mistake corrected, equation (i) changed to equation (1). Equation (4), it is true, L was omitted in error, now inserted. More explanation has been given about section 5, tables 2-8, the link between sections 5 and 6 is crucial to this explanation. Demand and supply of land was also omitted in error, now corrected in the first row of the options. A big thank you for your careful observations, constructive criticisms and clever suggestions.