

Editor's Comment:

My considerations regarding manuscript number 2018/JEMT/40000 :

* The revision of Rub reviewer is insufficient to draw a conclusion about the paper, since the reviewer did not add compulsory, minor and/or optional/general comments on the SDI Review Form.

* The revision of Zai reviewer is more detailed and accurate addressing some important issues about the paper. Although some of these issues were taken into account by authors, I believe that not all of the paper's problems were solved. The written English still needs improvements and there are some important problems in the methodology used: the authors assumed that there are 6 constructs with 34 indicators based on previous questionnaires used on other researches, but they did not carry out a exploratory or confirmatory factorial analysis in order to describe the variance structure and validate the constructs - this can be a serious problem on multiple regression models that require absence of multicollinearity; furthermore, other requirements for validation of the multiple regression model were not considered, such as normality of dependent and independent variables, homoscedasticity and independence of residuals (normality).

* I believe that the themes and topics of the paper are very relevant to an academic discussion, but the results reported cannot be supported by paper's findings since there are fundamentals problems with the quantitative models used.

In my opinion, the article should not be accepted by the journal.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Vilmar Rodrigues Moreira
Professor,
Business School, Pontifical Catholic University of Paraná, Brazil