



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_34646
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN (1999 – 2014)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should find ways of adding value to the calculations through, perhaps, a comparative approach. The literature review is inadequate. The claim for contribution to academic knowledge is not evident. There is no section on limitations. The conclusion contains recommendations that are not supported by the research findings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	School of Management, Shinawatra University, Thailand