



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                            |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEMT_34646</b>                                       |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>EVALUATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN (1999 – 2014)</b>   |
| Type of the Article      |                                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>1.1 Background to the study</b> (change this title into <b>Introduction</b>)</p> <p><b>1.3 Objectives of the paper</b> (Remove this title)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change the objectives into questions</li> <li>• Lines 55 – 56: Change these lines into a short introductory paragraph Of 3 to 5 lines that supports the questions.</li> <li>• <b>Review of related literature</b> and <b>conceptual framework</b>; these two titles cannot go together. (Remove both <b>titles</b>). The reason why I am recommending for the removal of the title of “review of related literature” is, this author didn't present enough literature to support this study. <b>The lines, 72 to 79:</b> Change these lines into a figure that can show how the <b>variables</b> of this research are connected together.</li> <li>• <b>2.2 Theoretical Literature</b> and <b>2.2.1 Budget Management and Budget Performance Reporting</b>. (Remove both titles).</li> <li>• <b>3.0 Methodology and Analysis</b> (the author needs to present further explanation).</li> <li>• <b>Lines 329 to 332: Conclusion</b> – The conclusion in which the author has drawn is a mere result. A conclusion has to say the author's insights which explain what happened and the reason why.</li> <li>• <b>Tables. The Source of the Data:</b> The author</li> </ul> |                                                                                                                                                                               |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                         | <p>should say where the original data is from. In <b>Methodology, Lines 131 – 132</b>, the author expressed a secondary data was involved. Also, this should be mentioned in the abstract.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>References:</b> This author has presented <b>69 references</b>. But the actual citations in his work are <b>23</b>. The difference between <b>69</b> and <b>23</b> is <b>46</b> (This author has to remove all the extra citations that are not originally included in his work).</li> </ul> |  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                          |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Husein Mohamed Irbad</i></b>                                       |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>Annamalai University, Lincoln University College, Malaysia</i></b> |