



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_36268
Title of the Manuscript:	The Theoretical Strategic Approach in The Feasibility Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Do not use quotes in the abstract. Conclusions must be individualised as a distinct sub-chapter. In Conclusions it is necessary to highlight the importance of Feasibility study in making an investment, i.e. the benefit/return for the investor or for the economy. Or, furthermore, regarding a country, if these Feasibility studies are being drafted only to gain money, without a tangible finality. The figures and tables must be inserted in their positions indicated in the paper. We consider that the strategic approach of the Feasibility study is a truism, because this kind of activity targets investments on medium and long term and developments of activities minimum on medium run. Therefore, the title could be "The foundation of the Feasibility study in the economic field". BUT, an aspect to enrich and to give more comprehensibility to the paper is that one of a simulation of the draw of the Feasibility Study, regarding a major road, a production building. This aspect, combining the theoretical structure presented with elements from a possible Feasibility Study (regarding a type of investment and, of course, with no name involved in): (name of investment objective, the situation at the respective moment, scenarios to get the goals, the location and	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	related studies, sustainability of each scenario for the purpose, the implementation of the objective...)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It was better if the author tried, from the specific reviewed literature to present his own point of view regarding the steps or pieces of the Feasibility Study, or to focus on a specific theory in the sub-chapter regarding the Strategic approach. Between Major and Minor comments, we consider a quick revision of language used, in order to remove the misspellings, the syntax errors and, above all, unclear expressions such as: "Reducing costs..... " (pg 1, no verb, no proposition); "...the power and the case that should be taken into account in the and the internal...(pg 2); "there are relationship cooperation to feasibility study...within the corporate strategic of organization" (pg 11) and so on.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandru Trifu
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Economics, University "Petre Andrei" of Iasi, Romania