



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_35394
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF NATURAL GAS IN ENERGY SECURITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Define "IEA" the first time it appears in the text, that is, in "Abstract". It is necessary that the text of the paper has quotations. These citations should not appear only in references. The citations (Ausubel, 1996), (Christopher and Saya, 2010), are not in the references. Figures 1, 2, 4, 6, 9 need a graphical review, to make possible its visualization and understanding.	
Minor REVISION comments	If possible, include references in some previous publications JACSI and / or International Knowledge Press, because this is important for the magazine.	
Optional/General comments	The paper was well developed and addresses important energy issues. Some suggestions were made and the authors may or may not accept them. If the authors correct what has been stated in "Compulsory REVISION comments," the paper will be in a position to be accepted. Consider the suggestions as an aid and not as critical.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando de Lima Caneppele
Department, University & Country	Department of Biosystems Engineering, University of São Paulo, Brazil