



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_35127
Title of the Manuscript:	Cognitive Analysis and Modeling of Innovation Potential
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. It may be more helpful to readers of this article that the authors use equations to describe all the indicators of this manuscript. 2. The conclusion section of this manuscript can be better. The current contents of conclusion section may be moved to the result and discussion section. I suggest that the authors conclude how the cognitive method help analysing and modelling the innovation potential.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The legend of Fig. 2 may be revised to such as 2012 and 2015.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>G. Y. Sheu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Accounting and Information Systems, Chang-Jung Christian University, Taiwan</i>