



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_34646
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN (1999 – 2014)
New Title of the Manuscript:	BUDGET EVALUATION AND GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE: A CASE OF THE NIGERIAN ECONOMY
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
This version is a little better. The author should attempt to find the issues raised by authors in the literature review rather than simply list people who have written on the subject. The literature review should end with the gaps in knowledge which the research will then seek to try to fill. The discussion section should highlight the extent to which the research has filled any of the gaps in knowledge – this is the claim to contribution to academic knowledge. The conclusion should include the research limitations. The author should think carefully about which recommendations are generally supported by the research findings.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	School of Management, Shinawatra University, Thailand