



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_34646
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN (1999 – 2014)
New Title of the Manuscript:	BUDGET EVALUATION AND GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE: A CASE OF THE NIGERIAN ECONOMY
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The following variables of this research appeared in the research questions. • Crude oil benchmark price – Revenue projections and actual revenue • Government revenue budget • Approved government annual expenditure budget and actual expenditure • Budget deficit estimates and actual annual budget deficit • Fiscal budget passage time, financial reporting time, actual budget passage time and financial reporting time. All these variables must be connected together as a figure under the conceptual framework Title. If the author cannot draw a figure, then he must explain these variables in the text under the title of conceptual framework with citations. Next, the research variables must be further explained one by one in the theoretical framework using the suitable theory which I am sure is INSTITUTIONAL THEORY with citations. To prove this, please take a look at the ANALYTICAL TABLES. Appendix 1. Table1. Column 1. Functions: Crude oil price, GFCR Revenue, FGN Revenue, FGN Total expenditure, Recurrent budget, Capital Budget, Fiscal deficit budget, Fiscal plan time. These are the research variables in the research questions. Variables must be figured or explained in the conceptual framework with cited work of previous authors. Also variables must be deeply explained in the theoretical framework with cited work of previous authors. And finally varibales must be appeared in the analytical tables. This is called COHERENCE. • The data spread of this research is 16 years from 1999 – 2014. The author used cardinal numbers 1,2,3. This is not acceptable. The data must be labelled e.g., 1999. 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and so on. • When primary data is presented we all know that is the work of the author. This research is involved SECODARY DATA DATABASES. This must be referred. If not, then it is an unethical action against property rights. I am indicating that all above mentioned details undermine	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

the credibility of this paper unless it is resolved. I am kindly suggesting the editorial board to give the author another chance to make this right.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Husein Mohamed Irbad</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Annamalai University, Lincoln University College, Malaysia</i>