



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_31537
Title of the Manuscript:	NIGERIA 2011 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION CODE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: This section should be revised to be in line with the Journal's instructions for Authors. This Journal requires a concise and informative abstract with wording not exceeding 300 words. Some of the research findings included in this section appear to be too detailed. Others seem to have no place in this paper. For example information provided in lines 28-30 does not support any aspect of this study given that this is a quantitative study that did not expressly cover corruption, fraud..... Consistency in use of Abbreviations: There is a need to be consistent when using abbreviations denoting variables employed in the study. For example directors' remuneration was abbreviated as DRM (in the abstract - lines 17, 33), DREM (on table 1: Descriptive Statistics), and DRM (on Table 2: Analysis of Correlation Matrix). Also, similar corrections will be required for Board Committee, Audit Composition etc. Clarity required: The research data covers a period between 2008 and 2014. Given that the Nigeria 2011 Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Code of Corporate Governance came into effect in between the periods, readers would have expected a clarity on the effect of the code on pre and post introduction of this Code in your conclusions.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References: In-text referencing need to be checked as all in-text references must also be present in the reference list and vice versa. For instance the following are yet to be included in the reference list: Ross, 1973 in line 132; SEC (2010) in line 211 Other Revision Required: The author(s) need to thoroughly read over the manuscript for minor corrections. Example, a comma is required in line 12 between Access Bank and Diamond Bank – readers may mistaking the sample size to be six instead of seven. Also, the sentences on lines 23 -24 and 389-391 require reconsideration. Findings revealss. Similarly, advancess in line 157.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein Salia
Department, University & Country	Department of Accounting, Faculty of Business Administration, Heritage Christian University College, Amasaman – Accra, Ghana