



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_31537
Title of the Manuscript:	NIGERIA 2011 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION CODE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The analysis of the regression results must be explained based on the explanatory variables impact on the dependent variable. The author(s) use of the regression results as the bases of determining relationship should be Changed. The author(s) should use the correlation matrix to explain the variables relationship. The author should established clearly the relevance of the study with previous studies and the current need of the research. The findings need to be supported by the Principal agent theory and the empirical literature reviewed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The abstract is too long with irrelevant information. For instance the author should consider the following: A sentence for the principal purpose of the study. A sentence for the methodology and the period of the study. The major findings, conclusions and recommendations of the study. An abstract should be brief with precision and must have clarity to inspire readers. All the variables symbols should be removed and only the real meaning of the variables should be considered.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should avoid the use of long sentences. Eg. From 48 to 52, also 52 to 55 etc. In 347 the correlation matrix should either show the lower or upper values. No need to show both. They suggest the same value. The goodness of fit test in numbered 360 results are all part of the regression outcome. Hence should be explained as an introduction before explaining the variables outcomes.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Eric Kofi Boadi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Koforidua Technical University, Ghana</i>