



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_37144
Title of the Manuscript:	Industrial Sector Growth and Public Infrastructure Capital in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- Between lines 75 and 76, qualify your claim that there few studies linking Nigeria's infrastructure and growth with "to the best of the researcher's knowledge" - Between lines 90 and 120, there is no single citation; are the submissions in the mentioned lines solely that of the author? - In line 128, change 'research' to 'researches' - Author needs to provide more justification for the choice of the study period. What is the justification for the choice of the beginning year 2000? - The statements "the first edition was published in April 2011. This was updated and expanded to cover the period 2000- 2016" was repeated. - The descriptive results were well analyzed. - In your recommendation for policy direction, can you suggest in specific terms, ways on how pervasive infrastructure deficit can be reversed to enhance economic growth and development? Recommendation should be practical and specific.	This issue was addressed as highlighted in lines 75 and 76. Yes This was corrected The second appearance of the same statement was deleted This issue was addressed as highlighted under recommendations
Optional/General comments	Satisfactory	