



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                      |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>           |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JEMT_36172</b>                                                 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Growth Effects of Spending on Public Recurrent Costs in Kenya</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                     |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                             | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | The author only has to go through the manuscript to correct few typographical errors. | Typographical errors corrected                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | The paper is generally good and has significant contribution to knowledge.            | Noted and appreciated                                                                                                                                                                       |