



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_35764
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENTS IN INNOVATION ACTIVITIES IN TURKEY: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF 2010-2012 AND 2012-2014 PERIODS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Are the changes statistically significant or merely small percentage changes? To a degree, the author(s) appear to be summarizing two statistical bulletins, but not performing any analysis of the data. The final conclusions, that Turkey is surpassing other countries in Europe and the world in innovation activities, are not supported by the data. The data only support changes in Turkish innovation across two time periods, with no evaluation of innovation rates outside Turkey. Only Figure 7, examining financial support ratios of enterprises, mentions EU.	This data gathered and processed by TUIK. Since I do not have raw data about that survey. I could not make any assessment about them. I added some analyses and comments to conclusion part of my article. I deleted the sentence " despite the decline in Europe in the same period and also the increase in A World First Product Innovations". I am planning to study on this topic and write more specific article about it. Thank you very much for your effort to improve my skills.
Minor REVISION comments	For the Akin study, WHAT are the relationships and dimensions? Summarize their findings, and provide a linkage from their work to your work. Is it possible (from the TurkStat data) to identify which sectors of the Turkish economy are engaged in (or lacking in) different types of innovation? There is one intriguing tidbit relative to food companies, but no other disaggregation of the data.	There is no direct relationship between my my work and their work. I cited about their study in order to make general explanation about Innovation concepts. TurkStatdatasare available and eligible to identify which sectors of the Turkish economy are engaged in (or lacking in) different types of innovation.
Optional/General comments	The time periods utilized (2010-2012 and 2012-2014) are too small to understand whether this is a significant trend or a data artefact coming out of a depression period. While the trend intuitively makes sense, and is supported by prior studies, it would be most interesting to have some primary data (possibly surveys of product innovators) peering into the future. Additionally, though this is a focus on innovation in Turkey, there are similar government secondary data sources available in other countries, and a comparative look at 3-5 countries (those leading innovation, those lagging), might be interesting as well. The Product Development and Management Association (PDMA) has a wealth of secondary data related to innovation rates, product and services introductions and failure rates, and similar data available.	Thank you very much for your assist. I am new in this field. I will keep in mind for my future study. After receiving your comment reached the data of EU resources specifically.