



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_35108
Title of the Manuscript:	VALUE MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE IN SELECTED OIL AND GAS COMPANIES IN SOUTH-SOUTH, NIGERIA.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The author has added more content but not revised the paper as requested. The literature review continues to suffer from the same problem: it is just a list of authors and what they have said. In fact, the problem is now worse as there is more of it. The discussion is short and could be improved. There is a need for a section on research limitations and suggestions for further research. Revisions requested included a demographic profile of the sample.	To the best of my knowledge literature review has three major parts: conceptual review where the concept of the variables of the study are reviewed and conceptualized, the theoretical reviews and framework where theories related to the study are reviewed and the theories adopted for the study are stated, the empirical review which is the bone of contention in this matter is where what the authors did on the related topic, how they did it, the findings are enumerated. This section will probably help the researcher/researchers to identify a research gap or lacuna for the study. I therefore urge the evaluator with all sense of humility to please explain further on what I should do in that sub-section. I have corrected the discussion of findings and also included the research limitations, suggestion for further studies and demographic profile of the sample.