SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEMT_34646**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN (1999 – 2014)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is not clear. "Evaluation of Federal Government (1999 – 2014)". What are you evaluating? It is clear from the body that you evaluate the budget performance of the federal government, but this should reflect in the title. Attend to language and structure errors. Some sentences are just too long; such need to be reworded for more clarity. Substantiate some of the statements with appropriate references. The conceptual framework or conceptual clarification? The two-sentence section (2.1) should be reconsidered for more clarity. Separate theoretical framework from literature review or delete the subtitle 'Review of related literature'.	Ok
Minor REVISION comments	Ensure that your reference style conforms to the journal's requirement.	
Optional/General comments		