



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_34441
Title of the Manuscript:	COST CONTROL MEASURES AND FUNDS MANAGEMENT IN TERTIARY INSTITUTIONS IN NIGERIA: AN IMPACT ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>We agree with the reviewer and have effected the necessary corrections as highlighted in the work</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The whole manuscript (especially the abstract) must be rewritten to remove all grammatical errors (whether typographical or otherwise). Examples: "in low income country like Nigeria" should be "in a low income country like Nigeria" or "in low income countries like Nigeria" (Lines 6-7) "properties & loss of lives" should be "properties and loss of lives" (line 37)	The corrections as highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research was well carried out. However, if possible, the authors should provide specific examples of the degradation of the Nigeria universities. Newspaper commentaries, and other sources could be quoted to give specific examples.	